



WEB COPY



CRP.No.4728 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08.10.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN

CRP.No.4728 of 2025

&

C.M.P.No.23874 of 2025

Karthiga

D/o.Perumalraj

Represented through her Power Agent

Perumalraj S/o.Vadivel

No.9/2, Valiykar Street

Mannargudi, Tiruvarur District

... Petitioner

Versus

Asirvatham Alexandar

... Respondent

Prayer:- Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to direct the Family Court, Mannargudi to dispose of H.M.O.P.No.49 of 2024 as expeditiously as possible within the time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner

: Mr.M.Venkatesh

ORDER

Seeking a direction for the speedy disposal of H.M.O.P.No.49 of 2024



CRP.No.4728 of 2025

pending on the file of Family Court, Mannargudi, the petitioner has preferred the present civil revision petition.

WEB COPY

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the above petition was filed on 05.07.2024 seeking dissolution of marriage solemnized on 16.12.2019 by mutual consent, but the same is pending for more than a year. Learned counsel submits that since the petitioner had to leave for London to pursue higher studies, she executed a Power of Attorney in favour of her father to represent the case in all Court proceedings on her behalf and therefore, the petitioner's father will be present physically in the Court for the hearings and the petitioner is ready to appear through video conferencing. Learned counsel further submits that as the petition has been filed for dissolution of marriage by mutual consent, this Court may direct speedy disposal of H.M.O.P.No.49 of 2024 pending on the file of Family Court, Mannargudi.

3. It is pertinent to mention that High Court cannot issue such directions for speedy disposal unless there is a justification (or) acceptable




reasons for issuing any such directions. It is relevant to cite the judgment of

this Court in ***S.Baby Vs. S.Sakkubai Ammal*** reported in **2023 SCC OnLine**

Mad 674, wherein, it has been held in paragraph nos.11 and 12 as follows:

“11. In the event of issuing direction in Civil Revision Petitions for speedy disposal without considering the number of cases pending in a particular Court on Board, it will result in discrimination against many other litigants, who all are waiting for disposal of their respective cases. There are allegations against the Courts that the cases are selectively picked up and disposed of. The plight of the poor and downtrodden are also to be taken into consideration, while disposing of the cases. The Court shall not pave way for such feeling to the litigants. The trust on the Judicial System is the Hallmark and any form of favouritism, nepotism or otherwise even in the matter of hearing of cases selectively will have larger repercussions on the system. No doubt certain cases are to be disposed of urgently, if there is a public interest involved or the litigants are able to establish genuine urgency for early disposal of the cases. Such cases alone are to be given priority.

12. The practice of giving preference to any litigation without any justification at all circumstances to be avoided. Every litigant approaching the Court of Law is waiting for justice and thus, it must be done in a consistent manner and without



WEB COPY



CRP.No.4728 of 2025


discriminating the litigants. Therefore issuing directions indiscriminately for speedy disposal of cases would do no service to the cause of justice. Every urgency cannot be considered for issuing a direction for speedy disposal, and the urgency, which is imminent alone to be considered.”

4. It is also relevant to cite the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sangram Sadashiv Suryavanshi Vs. The State of Maharashtra*** reported in **2024 INSC 899**, wherein, it has been held as follows:

“In paragraph 47.3 of the decision of a Constitution Bench of in the case of ‘High Court Bar Association, Allahabad vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. reported in (2024) 6 SCC 267, this Court has held that in the ordinary course, the Constitutional Courts should refrain from fixing a time-bound schedule for the disposal of cases pending before any other Courts. Paragraph 47.3 reads thus:

“47.3. Constitutional courts, in the ordinary course, should refrain from fixing a time-bound schedule for the disposal of cases pending before any other courts. Constitutional courts may issue directions for the time-bound disposal of cases only in exceptional circumstances. The issue of prioritising the disposal of cases should be best left to the decision of the courts concerned where the cases are pending;” (underline supplied)

A direction which can be issued in exceptional circumstances is



CRP.No.4728 of 2025

WEB COPY

being routinely issued by High Courts without noticing the law laid down by the Constitution Bench.”

5. By applying the ratio laid down in the above judgments, fixing a time-bound schedule for the Court below to dispose of the cases pending therein is not warranted. The Court concerned is expected to regulate its own procedure in respect of the cases on board for effective disposal and to ensure that the cases are disposed of within a reasonable period of time.

6. In view of the same, the learned Family Court, Mannargudi, shall dispose of H.M.O.P.No.49 of 2024 as expeditiously as possible.

With the above observations, this civil revision petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

08.10.2025

Index : Yes/No
Speaking order : Yes/No
Neutral Case Citation : Yes/No
gpa

To

The Family Court

5/7



Mannargudi

WEB COPY



CRP.No.4728 of 2025



WEB COPY



CRP.No.4728 of 2025

M. JOTHIRAMAN, J.

gpa

CRP.No.4728 of 2025

08.10.2025