



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.26188 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.26188 of 2025

Chelladurai

.. Petitioner/A1

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police
PEW Pallikaranai Unit,
Chennai.
(Cr.No.267 of 2024)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in C.C.No.516 of
2025 on the file of the II Additional Special Court for Exclusive Trial of
Cases under NDPS Act, Chennai.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Ananthasekar

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner herein, who was arrested on 29.01.2025 for the alleged
offence punishable under Section 8(c) r/w 20(B)(ii) (C), 25, 29(1) of
Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 in C.C.No.516 of



2025 on the file of the II Additional Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under NDPS Act, Chennai, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were illegally transported of 150 kgs of Ganja (Commercial Quantity). Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that no contraband was recovered from this petitioner and a false case has been foisted against the petitioner and the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent reported that this petitioner is ranked as A1 and he acted as a gang leader and engaged the other accused persons to transport the contraband. He further submitted that the respondent police intervened A2 and A3 and recovered 150 kgs of Ganja from them and on the basis of statements recorded from them, two other accused were also implicated in this case. He submitted that there are 17 previous cases pending against the petitioner, out of which 4 cases are under NDPS Act. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5.Heard both sides.



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.26188 of 2025



6. Though it is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that no recovery has been effected from this petitioner, the statement recorded from the co-accused and as well as the recovery effected from them, would show that this petitioner also involved in the alleged offence. Section 37 of NDPS Act is applicable to this case and no grounds made to satisfy twin conditions of Section 37.

7. Taking all the factors into consideration and considering the bad antecedents of the petitioner and also the fact that there are 17 previous cases pending against the petitioner, out of which 4 cases are under NDPS offence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

23.09.2025

smv



CrI.OP.No.26188 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The The Inspector of Police
PEW Pallikaranai Unit,
Chennai.
2. The II Additional Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases
under NDPS Act, Chennai.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.26188 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

smv

Crl.O.P.No.26188 of 2025



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.26188 of 2025

23.09.2025