



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.26039 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.26039 of 2025

1. Lakshmi

2. Arun Kumar

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
R-9, Valasaravakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.416 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.416 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.Saritha Sivakumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 r/w Section 303(2) of



BNS, 2023 in Crime No.416 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that based on the secret information, the respondent police went to the scene of occurrence and found that when the petitioner had illegally quarried 3 units of ordinary sand and transported the same in a Lorry. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the 1st petitioner is the owner of the lorry and the 2nd petitioner is the driver of the said lorry. He further submitted that A1 and A2 were absconded. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence, submissions made by learned counsels on either side, the petitioner had illegally quarried and transported ordinary sand, the petitioner is having two previous cases and the bad antecedents and hence, this Court



Crl.OP.No.26039 of 2025

is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

WEB COPY

22.09.2025

Vv

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I.
Poonamalle.
2. The Inspector of Police,
R-9, Valasaravakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.26039 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.26039 of 2025

22.09.2025



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.26039 of 2023