



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

WP CrI. No. 943 of 2025

Ghayazullah

Appellant(s)

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu

Rep By its,

The Principal Secretary,

Home Department, Fort St.George,

Chennai-600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,

Greater Chennai Police, Vepery,

Chennai-7.

3.The Deputy Commissioner of Police,

St.Thomas Mount District, Chennai-16.

4.The Inspector of Police,


S1, St.Thomas Mount Police Station,

Chennai-16.

5.Jawahar Ali

Treasurer, Jumma Masjid,

Butt Road, St.Thomas Mount,



Chennai-16.

6.Mohamed Shakir

Vice President, Jumma Masjid,
Butt Road, St.Thomas Mount,
Chennai-16.

7.Tamil Nadu Wakf Board,
Rep.by its Secretary,
Chennai.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To direct the 4th respondent to provide adequate and effective police protection to the petitioner and his family members to enable them to peacefully enter, secure, and put up boundary walls and fencing in the property comprised in Door Nos.5/64, 5/64-A, 5/64B, 5/64-6A and 5/64-6C, Survey Nos.1826 Magazine Road, Butt Road, St.Thomas Mount, Chennai-600 016, without any interference from respondents 5 and 6 or their men, agents or associates, based on the petitioner's representation dated 16.06.2023.

For Appellant(s): Mr.S.Yogalakshmi

For Respondent: Mr.R.Vinothraja,
Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side)
for RR1 to 4

ORDER

The petitioner seeks police protection to the petitioner and his family members to enable them to enjoy his property.



WEB COPY

2. According to the petitioner, the petitioner's mother has already filed OS.No.41 of 2001 and obtained decree. The suit has been decreed for permanent injunction. However, the private respondents are interfering with his possession. Despite his complaint, the respondent Police has not given protection.

3. Considering the facts and circumstance of the case, at the outset, such a blanket direction cannot be given. Since the petitioner has got permanent injunction decree in OS.No.41 of 2001, if there is any violation, he can very well file Execution Petition. Since there is no limitation to enforce the decree of permanent injunction, the petitioner's remedy lies before the Civil Court by executing the decree. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

25-09-2025


pvs

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

WEB COPY

1. The Principal Secretary,

The State of Tamilnadu

Home Department, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police, Vepery,
Chennai-7.

3. The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
St. Thamas Mount District, Chennai-16.

4. The Inspector of Police,
S1, St. Thamas Mount Police Station,
Chennai-16.

5. The Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Wakf Board,
Chennai.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 943 of 2025



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

pvs

WP CrI. No. 943 of 2025

25-09-2025