



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25942 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.25942 of 2025

1. Murali
2. Kannan
3. Mohan
4. Elumalai
5. Anish
6. Velraj

... Petitioners

Vs.

State Rep by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
B8, Pullarambakkam Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
(Cr.No.144 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in connection with Crime No.144 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Ms.B.Sasikala

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS (Sections 147, 148, 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC) read with Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 1998 in Crime No.144 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that due to previous enmity, the petitioners abused and assaulted the defacto complainant and her son with knife and caused injuries to them. Hence, the present complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners and the defacto complainant belong to the same village. On the date of occurrence, there was a temple festival in the Village, during which a wordy quarrel arose between two groups and it is a case and case in counter registered against both sides. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that injured were taken out patient treatment. He further reported that the there is no



previous case against the petitioners. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and the submissions made by both counsel, and taking note of the fact that injured were taken out patient treatment and that there is no previous case against the petitioners, in this regard, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions. .

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate-I, Tiruvallur** on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25942 of 2025

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;


(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

22.09.2025

Vv



Crl.OP.No.25942 of 2022

To

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I, Tiruvallur
2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
B8, Pullarambakkam Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.25942 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

CrI.O.P.No.25942 of 2025

22.09.2025