



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 26213, 26214 and 26215 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP Nos. 26213, 26214 and 26215 of 2025

1. Sathish Kumar

S/o. Buvanendhiran, No.02, Bajanai
Kovil Street, Aladu, Tiruvallur 601 204.

Petitioner(s) in all
Crl.O.Ps

Vs

1. State represented by

The Sub-Inspector of Police, P-4 Basin
Bridge Police Station, Chennai - 12.
(Cr.No.523 of 2024 and C.C.No.51 of
2025), (Cr.No.536 of 2024 and
C.C.No.52 of 2025)(Cr.No.539 of 2024
and C.C.No.53 of 2025)

Respondent(s) in all
Crl.O.Ps.

COMMON PRAYER

To enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in Crime Nos.523 of 2024, 536 of 2024 and 539 of 2024 (now C.C.Nos.51/2025, 52/2025 and 53/2025) on the file of X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai) on such terms and conditions as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper, and thus render justice.



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 26213, 26214 and
26215 of 2025



For Petitioner(s): H.Eshwar
M.Ponmudi
S.Balaji
P.Nandhini
S.Sridhar Raj
S.Sasikumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

COMMON ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS Act in Crime Nos.523 of 2024, 536 of 2024 and 539 of 2024 and the charge sheet has been filed and the case is now pending as C.C.Nos.51/2025, 52/2025 and 53/2025 before the learned X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that he was earlier arrested and released on bail for the offences of theft.



WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was earlier granted bail and was regularly appearing before the Court.

At that time, the respondent moved for bail cancellation on the ground that the petitioner did not appear before the respondent police. Accordingly, the trial Court cancelled the bail granted vide order dated 14.08.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide with any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the petitioner has 7 previous cases and did not comply with the conditions. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact that the petitioner did not comply with the conditions and taking note of the fact that there are 7 previous cases pending against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petitions are dismissed. However,

the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the bail cancellation before the Court

below.

24-09-2025

mpa

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1.X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore.

2.State represented by

The Sub-Inspector of Police, P-4 Basin

Bridge Police Station, Chennai - 12.

(Cr.No.523 of 2024 and C.C.No.51 of

2025), (Cr.No.536 of 2024 and

C.C.No.52 of 2025)(Cr.No.539 of 2024

and C.C.No.53 of 2025)

3.The Public Prosecutor,

High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 26213, 26214 ar
26215 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
mpa

**CRL OP Nos. 26213,
26214 and 26215 of 2025**

24-09-2025