



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25962 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.25962 of 2025

Pravin Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of Police,
HUDCO Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.252 of 2025)

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.252 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.KasiRajan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 6, 20(1) of Cigarette and




Other Tobacco Products Act, Section 123 of BNS and Section 4(1) (a) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act in Crime No.252 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has illegally transported 157.100 kgs worth about Rs.42,630/- in a four wheeler and also found in a possession of 20 packets of Karnataka State liquor, each containing 180 ml. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution, he has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner is having no previous case. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that the petitioner has purchased the banned contraband from other State and brought it to the Tamilnadu in a Car. On seeing the police party, the petitioner has dropped the vehicle and ran away from the scene of occurrence, the same was seized by the respondent police. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.OP.No.25962 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case , the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the gravity of an offence committed by the petitioner and also taking note of the fact that the quantity of contraband seized, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

22.09.2025

Vv

To

1. The The Inspector of Police,
HUDCO Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

2.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25962 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.25962 of 2025

22.09.2025



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25962 of 2022