



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25972 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.25972 of 2025

Subbukutti

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Avinashipalayam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
(Crime No.450 of 2025)

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.450 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Ponbalaji

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 281, 303(2) of BNS Act and under Section 4(1)(1A), 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and under Section 185 of Motor Vehicles Act,



1988 in Crime No.450 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.


WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that based on the secret information, the respondent police went to the scene of occurrence and found that when the petitioner had illegally quarried 1½ unit of savudu sand and transported the same in Bharat Benz Lorry. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner/A2, who is owner of the lorry and the said lorry along with gravel sand has already been arrested. He further submitted that there are two previous cases as against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence, submissions made by learned counsels on either side, the petitioner had illegally quarried and transported gravel sand, the petitioner is



Crl.OP.No.25972 of 2025

having two previous cases and the bad antecedents and hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

22.09.2025

Vv

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Palladam.
Tiruppur District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Avinashipalayam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25972 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.25972 of 2025

22.09.2025



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25972 of 2023