



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25889 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.25889 of 2025

Murali @ Asu

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Thellar Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.
(Cr.No.147 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in Cr.No.147 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Karthick

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 318(4) of BNS Act, 2023 in Crime No. 147 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2.The allegation against this petitioner is that the petitioner is working as salesman at Maruti Suzuki showroom. It is alleged that when the company manager asked the petitioner to deposit a sum of Rs.1,28,000/- to the company's bank account, the petitioner has taken away the money and absconded. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that he is ready to deposit a reasonable amount without prejudice to his contentions. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that FIR was registered recently on 06.08.2025. He further submits that this petitioner received money and instead of depositing the same into the defacto complainant's account, he has taken the money and absconded. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.25889 of 2022



5. Heard the learned counsels and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by learned counsels on either side, taking into account the fact that the petitioner is willing to deposit some amount without prejudice his contentions, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Vandavasi** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only)** with two **sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.25889 of 2025



[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] The petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh only) to the credit of Crime No.147 of 2025 and shall produce the proof of payment before the learned Magistrate concerned at the time of executing the sureties.

[d] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25889 of 2025

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

09.10.2025

nr

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Vandavasi
2. The Inspector of Police,
Thellar Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25889 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

nr

Crl.O.P.No.25889 of 2025

09.10.2025