



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No. 25830 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 24-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR
CRL OP NO. 25830 of 2025

S.R. Sreelatha

Petitioner/A1

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch-I,
Chennai.

Crime No. 162 of 2025.

Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event
of his arrest pending investigation in Cr.No. 162 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Thirumurugan
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Sections 316, 318(4) of BNS Act,
2023 in Crime No. 162 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks
anticipatory bail.


2. The allegation against the petitioner/A1 viz., S.R.Sreelatha, who



WEB COPY

was working as Manager in the de-facto complainant's Company viz., Glow Aesthetic LLP. The petitioner along with her husband/A2 created a deceptive bank account and QR Code payment system in the name of “Glow Skin Aesthetics” resembling the Company's name and placed fraudulent QR code at the company's premises. After operating new bank account, the petitioner along with her husband had swindled for more than 2 Crore by manipulating of invoices and QR code belonging to the de-facto complainant. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that 41-A notice was issued by the respondent police to the petitioner and her husband and after receiving notice, they did not appear before the respondent police. He further submits that huge amount has been transferred into the petitioner's account of Rs.48,00,000/- by manipulating several invoices and QR code belongs to the de-facto complainant's company. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and considering the fact that *prima-facie* case is made out against the petitioner herein and considering the gravity of the offence committed by the petitioner herein and therefore, custodial interrogation is required in this case, this Court is not inclined to allow this Criminal Original Petition.



Crl.OP.No. 25830 of 2025

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

WEB COPY

24.09.2025

MSM

To

1.The Special Court, CCB and CBCID, Egmore, Chennai.

2.The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch-I,
Chennai.Crime No. 162 of 2025.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 25830 of 2025

MSM

Crl.O.P.No. 25830 of 2025

24.09.2025