



WEB COPY



C.M.P.No.26635 of 2025

and

A.S.No.213 of 2021

Dr.G.JAYACHANDRAN,J.

and

MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR,J.

[Order of the Court was made by Dr.G.JAYACHANDRAN,J.]

The respondent in the appeal, namely Uma Mageswari, is represented by her power agent Lalitha. The appellant had caused Court notice to the said power agent, which has been returned unserved with an endorsement that she had vacated the premises.

2. The learned Master of this Court permitted the appellant to take private notice to both the principal as well as the power agent. The notice issued to the power agent was returned as “no such person in the address”. The postal cover addressed to the principal was returned as “door locked” and later subsequent intimation delivered, but not claimed.

3. In such circumstances, the appellant has taken out an application seeking permission to effect substituted service by paper publication in one



issue of any English and Tamil daily newspaper.

WEB COPY

4. Considering the fact that service in the normal course could not be achieved and in view of Order V Rule 20 of C.P.C. the application for substituted service is allowed.

Dr.G.JAYACHANDRAN,J.
and
MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR,J.

rpl

5. The appellant is permitted to effect substituted service by way of paper publication in one issue of the Tamil daily “Dina Thandhi” and in one issue of the English daily “The Indian Express”, both Puducherry editions, directing the respondent to appear on 07.01.2026.

[Dr.G.J., J.] & [M.S.K., J.]
20.11.2025

rpl

2/3



WEB COPY



C.M.P.No.26635 of 2025

and

A.S.No.213 of 2021