



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 25876 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 25876 of 2025

Jagadeshprasad

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu Rep by the Inspector of
Police

N-3 Muthailpet Police Station, Chennai-600001. Respondent(s)


PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of
his arrest in Crime No. 133 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police Station.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Venkateswara Babu

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under
Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A), 25, 29(1) of NDPS Act and Section 132 of BNS, in
Cr.No.133 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 28.06.2025, at about 5.00 hours, the Sub-Inspector of Police on duty received secret information from his informer. After obtaining permission from the Inspector of Police, the police team proceeded to the spot, whereupon some accused persons, including the petitioner, were found in possession of 452 grams of ganja without any valid licence, purportedly for the purpose of sale at high prices.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner is implicated in this case based on the confession of other accused and that the petitioner did not present in the scene of occurrence, hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) would submit that totally there are four accused and the petitioner is arrayed as A4. He further submitted



that A1 to A3 have already been released on bail. He also submitted that

investigation is at crucial stage. If the accused is released on anticipatory bail,

he will either abscond or commit similar offence and that there is every

possibility of him interfering with further investigation in the case. Hence, he

vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the gravity of offence committed by the petitioner and there is possibility of petitioner involves in similar offences, hence, this Court is not inclined to grant extra-ordinary relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

19-09-2025

gbi

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 25876 of 2025





CRL OP No. 25876 of 2025



To
WEB COPY

1. The State of Tamil Nadu Rep by the
Inspector of Police
N-3 Muthailpet Police Station,
Chennai-600001.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 25876 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 25876 of
2025**

19-09-2025