



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.25769 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.25769 of 2025

Vignesh

... Petitioner/A1

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Velankanni Police Station,
Nagapattinam.
(Crime No.333 of 2025).

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime
No.333 of 2025 pending on the file of the Respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Jawahar

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
28.08.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 308(5) and
351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25(1)(A) of Indian Arms Act and Section



67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 in connection with Crime No.333 of 2025, on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 28.08.2025 while the de-facto complainant was working as a painter in KL lodge, A2 along with the petitioner came to the lodge and contacted A3 through WhatsApp video call. Thereafter the petitioner along with A2 and A3 demanded a sum of Rs.25,000/- from the de-facto complainant and threatened the de-facto complainant in filthy language. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the co-accused has been granted bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.No.25416 of 2025 on 16.09.2025. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has got three previous cases, in fact, the petitioner is a History



Crl.O.P.No.25769 of 2025

Sheetter in H.S.No.318 of 2023. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature of allegations and the previous antecedents of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7.Hence, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

19.09.2025

rsi

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Velankanni Police Station,
Nagapattinam.

2.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.

3/4



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.25769 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

rsi

Crl.O.P.No.25769 of 2025

19.09.2025