



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 1779 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 22-09-2025**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI**

**CRL RC No. 1779 of 2025**

1. N.C.Banumathy  
W/o. Late K. Chakravarthy, Door  
No.135A, Mottur Village, Anankanallur  
Village, Gudiyatham Taluk, Vellore  
District.  
2. C. Ranjithkumar

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police  
Vellore District, Vellore-632001.  
2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police  
District Crime Branch, Vellore-632001.  
3.The Inspector of Police  
District Crime Branch, Vellore 632001.  
4.T.K. Baskar  
S/o. T.L. Krishnasamy Naidu, No89-A,  
kalleri Village, Kondusamudram,  
Gandhi Nagar Post, Gudiyatham Post,  
Gudiyatham Taluk, Vellore District  
5.P.K. Venkatraman  
S/o. P.V. Kothandapani, No.3/307, 1st  
Street, 8th Cross, TNHB Phase-1,  
sathuvacherry, Vellore.

Respondent(s)

**CRL RC No. 1779 of 2025**

**PRAYER**



To set aside the order dated 25.08.2025 made Crl.M.P.No.9949 of 2025 on the file of the JM No.V, Vellore and direct the respondents 1 to 3 to register the complaint dated 10.05.2025 submitted by the 1st Revision Petitioner

WEB COPY

**CRL RC No. 1779 of 2025**

For Petitioner(s): S. Thirumavalavan  
C. Krithiga  
S. Surendran  
V. Prasanna

For R1 to R3 : Dr.C.E. Pratap,  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

**ORDER**

This Criminal Revision Case has been filed to set aside the order dated 25.08.2025 made Crl.M.P.No. 9949 of 2025 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No. V, Vellore and direct the respondents 1 to 3 to register the complaint dated 10.05.2025 submitted by the 1st Revision Petitioner.

2. The petitioners herein filed the application under Section 173(4) of BNSS seeking direction to register a First Information Report against fourth and fifth respondent herein. The case of the petitioners are that first petitioner is the mother of the second petitioner herein. The first petitioner entered into a sale agreement with the fourth respondent herein on 19.11.2024 to purchase the immovable property and fixed a sum of Rs.5,22,54,600/- as sale consideration and paid a sum of Rs.22,00,000/- as advance amount through cash as well as through bank transaction and six months time was fixed to complete the sale. Thereafter, she paid a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- by way of cash and paid a sum of Rs.25,00,000/-, Rs.40,00,000/- and Rs.10,00,000/- through bank transfer, totally the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner had paid a sum of Rs.1,00,00,000/- to the four respondent to



that effect endorsement was made in the sale agreement dated 19.11.2024.

While so, in order to cheat the petitioners 4<sup>th</sup> respondent entered into sale agreement on 16.12.2024 with 5<sup>th</sup> respondent for a sum of Rs.1,50,00,000/-.

Thereafter, the fifth respondent refused to return back the amount. Hence, the petitioners filed the private complaint before the Trial Court but without considering the above fact the Trial Court dismissed the application based on the report submitted by the Station House Officer. Aggrieved over the same, the petitioners filed this appeal.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without considering the fact that the petitioner was cheated by the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent, the Station House Officer simply stated that it is unregistered sale agreement with certain terms and conditions are violated and the remedy is open to the complainant before the Civil Court. However, the records show that the fifth respondent has committed forgery and cheated the petitioner. Based on the report of the Station House officer, the Trial Court dismissed the application as such is erroneous and liable to be set aside.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case though it is an unregistered sale agreement, there is a prime facie material against the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent that he had received more than crores from the first petitioner through RTGS to his bank account. Hence, it requires detailed investigation and third respondent is directed to register FIR and investigate the case as per manner known to law. The order dated 25.08.2025 passed by the Judicial



Magistrate No. V, Vellore, in Crl.M.P.No. 9949 of 2025 is set aside.

WEB COPY

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is disposed of.

**22-09-2025**

pbl

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No. V,  
Vellore.

2. The Superintendent of Police  
Vellore District, Vellore-632001.

3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police  
District Crime Branch, Vellore-632001.

4. The Inspector of Police  
District Crime Branch, Vellore 632001.

5. The Section officer, V. R Section,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 1779 of 2025



**T.V.THAMILSELVI J.**  
pbl

**CRL RC No. 1779 of  
2025**

**22-09-2025**