



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25595 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.09.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.25595 of 2025

Ranjith @ Ranjith Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
T-13 Chitlapakkam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
(Cr.No.195 of 2025)

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of their arrest in connection with Cr.No.195 of 2025 on the file respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Karthick

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner herein, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the alleged offence under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 181(1),



351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 in Crime No.195 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 08.09.2025 around 5.30 pm at Asthinapuram, the petitioner along with three other accused waylaid the defacto complainant and abused him in a filthy language and also attacked him and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, the learned counsel prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there is one previous case of similar nature pending as against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking all the factors into consideration, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate – II, Tambaram**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** with two



WEB COPY

sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that

[a] if the petitioner fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as when required for the interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of**



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25595 of 2025

Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

18.09.2025

smv

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
T13, Chitlapakkam Police station,
Kancheepuram District.
- 2.Judicial Magistrate – II, Tambaram.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25595 of 2025

MR.K.RAJASEKAR, J.

smv

Crl.O.P.No.25595 of 2025

18.09.2025