



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 17-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

**WP No. 35117 of 2019
AND WMP NO. 35912 OF 2019**

1. K.A.Dhamodharan

S/o.K.S.Arumugam, No.163, Madam
Street, Podaddurpet, Pallipet Taluk,
Thiruvallur District.

2. N.Kothandan

S/o.Narasimmalu, No.62, Pillaiyar Koil
Street, Anumandha Putheri, Chengalpet.

3. P.K.Gajarajan,

S/o.s.Kanniappan, No.6, Ulagalandhar
Koil Street, Kancheepuram.

4. P.Maniappan,

S/o.P.K.Ponnuswamy, No.1, First
Cross, Balaji street, Gandhi Nagar,
Avadi, Chennai 600 054.

5. G.Purushothaman,

S/o.C.Ganapathy, No.4/86, Third Cross
Street, AGS Colony, Velacherry,
Chennai 600 042.

Petitioner(s)



Vs

1. Union of India,

Rep. by its Secretary to Government of
India Ministry of labour and
Employment New Delhi 110 001.

2. The Regional Provident Fund

Commissioner,

Opp Swagat Hotel, Royapettah High
Road, Chennai Tamil Nadu 600 014.

3. The Assistant Provident Fund

Commissioner, (pension), Employees
Provident Fund Organization, Regional
Office S.1, Tnhb, Phase -iii
Sathuvachari Vellore 632 009.

4. Kancheepuram Central Cooperative,
Bank Ltd., Rep. By Its General Manager,
Kancheepuram.

Respondent(s)

Directing the respondents Nos.1 to 3 herein to accept the return and remittance of the amounts being the difference between contribution on ceiling limit and contribution on actual wages disbursed to and withdrawn by the petitioners at the time of their retirement by superannuation without insisting on any payment of interest thereon and to pay the full pension based on their employers contribution on actual wages remitted by employer of the petitioner with arrears and prospective payments in the light of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 04.10.2016 in the case of R.C.Gupta and others Vs. Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, The Employees Provident Fund Organization and others.



WEB COPY

WP No. 35117 of 2019



For Petitioner(s): MS. D.AROKIA MARY
SOPHIA
For Respondent(s): Ms.Anuradha Sekar For R1

ORDER

When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this writ petition and to that effect, he has made an endorsement in the bundle.

2. Recording the above submission and endorsement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

17-04-2025


rli

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WP No. 35117 of 2019



M.DHANDAPANI,J.

WEB CUM

1.Union of India,

Rep. by its Secretary to Government of
India Ministry of labour and
Employment New Delhi 110 001.

2.The Regional Provident Fund
Commissioner,

Opp Swagat Hotel, Royapetteh High
Road, Chennai Tamil Nadu 600 014.

3.The Assistant Provident Fund
Commissioner, (pension), Employees
Provident Fund Organization, Regional
Office S.1, Tnhb, Phase -iii
Sathuvachari Vellore 632 009.

WP No. 35117 of 2019

17.04.2025