



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25530 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.25530 of 2025

V.Thangavel

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by

The Inspector of Police,

K2 ,Ayanavaram Police Station

Chennai District- 600 003

(Cr.No. 317 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Cr.No. 317 of 2025 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. S.Vijayaraghavan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.08.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 329 (4), 296(b), 118(1), 109, 311, 351(3) of BNS Act in Crime.No. 317 of 2025 on the file of respondent police, seeks bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to monetary dispute, the petitioner along with 2 other accused persons trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant while she was alone in the house and robbed one thali saradu and two bangles at knife point. It is also alleged that A1 stabbed her indiscriminately by using knife due to which, she sustained injuries. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence however, he is suffering incarceration from 15.08.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that there are totally three accused in this case and this petitioner is arrayed as A2. He submits that the petitioner along with other accused persons trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and attacked her with knife. He also submits that seven previous cases were pending against the petitioner.



Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case , taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), considering the fact that the petitioner along with other accused persons trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and assaulted the victim repeatedly with knife and caused severe injuries to her, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

17.09.2025

nr
To

1. The learned V Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, Chennai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
K2 ,Ayanavaram Police Station
Chennai District- 600 003

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25530 of 2025

nr

Crl.O.P.No.25530 of 2025

17.09.2025