



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25428 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.25428 of 2025

1. Natarajan

2. Santhi

3. Sinthuja

... Petitioners

Vs.

State Rep by

The Inspector of Police,

Paramathy Police Station,

Namakkal District.

(Cr.No.150 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest pending investigation in Cr.No.150 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.


For Petitioners : Mr.T.Dhasarathan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) of BNS, Act(under Section 294(b) and 324 of IPC) Crime No. 150 of 2025, on the



file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners had abused the defacto complainant in a filthy language and also assaulted him with hands on his face and his back with stones. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that this is a case in counter. He also submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for their release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that it is a case and case in counter where, due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in a filthy language and also assaulted him with hands. He also submits that no previous case is pending against the petitioners. He further submits that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the



petitioners.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by learned counsels on either side and considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramathy, Namakkal District** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25428 of 2025

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the 1st petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation; the 2nd and 3rd petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

16.09.2025

nr

4/6



Crl.OP.No.25428 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Paramathy, Namakkal District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Paramathy Police Station,
Namakkal District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25428 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

nr

Crl.O.P.No.25428 of 2025

16.09.2025