



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35592 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 18.09.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.35592 of 2025

G.Elumalai

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector
Tiruvannamalai, Tiruvannamalai District.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Cheyyar, Tiruvannamalai District.

3. The Tahsildar
Chetpet Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.

4. The Head Surveyor
Chetpet Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.

5. The Village Administrative Officer
Nedungunam Vilalge, Chetpet Taluk,
Tiruvannamalai District.

... Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 3rd and 4th respondents to measure and lay boundary stones after proper survey over



W.P.No.35592 of 2025

the property comprised in S.No.332/9 (Subdivision) 331/9 extent 5 1/4 cents situated at Nedungunam Village, Chetpet Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District by considering the representation made by the petitioner on 19.05.2025 within the time to be stipulated by this Honourable Court

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Chandrasekaran

For Respondent : Mr.C.Jayaprakash, GA

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to direct the 3rd and 4th respondents to measure and lay boundary stones after proper survey over the subject property by considering the representation made by the petitioner on 19.05.2025 within the time to be stipulated by this Court.

2. Mr.C.Jayaprakash, learned Government Advocate, takes notice on behalf of the respondents.

3. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this



W.P.No.35592 of 2025

WEB COPY

case, the petitioner purchased the subject property vide sale deed dated 22.05.1998. Based on the said sale deed, he made a representation dated 19.05.2025 before the respondents, requesting them to measure and lay boundary stones at the subject property. However, the said representation was not at all considered by the respondent till date. Hence, this writ petition.

5. In reply, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents would request this Court to pass appropriate orders directing the respondents to consider and dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the respondents and also perused the materials available on record.

7. In the case on hand, the petitioner purchased the subject property vide sale deed dated 22.05.1998. Based on the said sale deed, he



W.P.No.35592 of 2025

WEB COPY

made a representation dated 19.05.2025 before the respondents, requesting them to measure and lay boundary stones at the subject property. However, the said representation was not at all considered by the respondent till date.

8. In view of the above, this Court directs the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 19.05.2025 within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

9. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.


10. Post this matter on 10.11.2025 for reporting compliance.

18.09.2025

Speaking/Non-speaking order
Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
nsa

To

4/6



W.P.No.35592 of 2025

WEB COPY

1. The District Collector
Tiruvannamalai, Tiruvannamalai District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Cheyyar, Tiruvannamalai District.
3. The Tahsildar
Chetpet Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.
4. The Head Surveyor
Chetpet Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.
5. The Village Administrative Officer
Nedungunam Vilalge, Chetpet Taluk,
Tiruvannamalai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35592 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

W.P.No.35592 of 2025

18.09.2025