IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 19.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.35906 of 2024
& W.M.P.Nos.38769 & 38771 of 2024

M/s.Maya Electricals,
Rep by its Prop.Mr.Mangi Lal,
Old No.204/205, New No.96,
Shop No.16, Govindappa Naicken Street,
Sowcarpet, Chennai,
Tamil Nadu 600 079.

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Tax Officer,
Group VI, Inspection, Intelligence-I,
The Commercial Taxes Building,
PAPJM Building,
Room No.118, First Floor,
No.1, Greams Road, Chennai 600 006

... Respondent

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records of the Assessment Order dated 29.05.2024 via DRC 07 in GSTIN/33AAMPM9937C1ZI/2021-22 issued by the respondent and quash the same as illegal and arbitrary and direct the respondent to remove the recovery notices dated 21.08.2024 and 27.08.2024 and remand back the matter to the respondent.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.A.Dhamodaran
For Respondent	:	Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 29.05.2024 passed by the respondent.

2. Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent in the GST common portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the respondent without

providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Hence, this petition has been filed.

4. Further, he would submit that the petitioner is willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax amount to the respondent. Hence, he requests this Court to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to present their case before the respondent by setting aside the impugned order.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent had uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, he has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, he requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent, subject to the payment of 25% of the disputed tax amount by the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

7. In the case on hand, it is clear that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Hence, this Court is of the view that the impugned order was passed in violation of principles of natural justice since it is just and necessary to provide an opportunity to the petitioner to establish their case on merits.

8. Further, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax amount to the respondent. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 29.05.2024 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

> (i) The impugned order dated 29.05.2024 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration on condition that the petitioner

shall pay 25% of disputed tax amount to the respondent within a period of four weeks from today (19.03.2025) and the setting aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

(iv) Considering the fact that the impugned order itself has been set aside, this Court is of the opinion that the attachment made on the bank account of the petitioner cannot survive any longer and hence, it is to be lifted. As a sequel, the respondent is directed to instruct the concerned Bank to release the attachment, and de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner, immediately upon the production of proof with regard to the payment made by the petitioner as stated above.

9. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

19.03.2025

Speaking/Non-speaking order
Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
nsa

To

The State Tax Officer,
Group VI, Inspection, Intelligence-I,
The Commercial Taxes Building,
PAPJM Building,
Room No.118, First Floor,
No.1, Greams Road, Chennai 600 006

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

W.P.No.35906 of 2024
& W.M.P.Nos.38769 & 38771 of 2024

19.03.2025