



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 25439 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 25439 of 2025

1. M Sivakami

2. Nikkitha

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1.The Assistant Commissioner of Police

Job Racket Wing, Central Crime Branch - I, Chennai.

2.The Inspector of Police

Job Racket Wing, Central Crime Branch - I,
Chennai. (Crime No. 132 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No. 132 of 2025 on the file of the Central Crime Branch - I, Chennai.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R Vinoth Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate Crl.side



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 420 & 120B of IPC, in Crime No.132 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the 1st petitioner is alleged to have assured that he would secure Tamil Nadu Government Job for the defacto complainant's wife and brother-in-law. It is further alleged that on the basis of this representation, the petitioners collectively collected and paid a sum of Rs.49,17,000/- between 21.01.2011 and 16.03.2011, but petitioners failed to secure the said jobs and also did not repay the amount. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he sought for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police reported that the transactions taken place through the accounts of the petitioners herein and they were also aware of the job racketing and they are actively participated in this crime. He further submitted that the petitioners are habitual offender and two similar cases were pending against the petitioners, hence a detailed custodial investigation is needed in this case.

5. Considering the submissions made on both sides, perusal of records, facts and circumstances of the case and also seeing the conduct of the petitioners, who collected huge money from defacto complainant by job racketing and a detailed investigation is needed, hence this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

17-09-2025

gbi



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 25439 of 2025





To
WEB COPY

1. The Assistant Commissioner of Police
Job Racket Wing, Central Crime
Branch - I, Chennai.

2. The Inspector of Police
Job Racket Wing, Central Crime
Branch - I, Chennai. (Crime No. 132
of 2025)

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 25439 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 25439 of
2025**

17-09-2025