



WEB COPY

Cont.P.No.3993 of



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.01.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR

Cont.P. No.3993 of 2024

1.R.Chinna Kannu
2.C.Kumar
3.S.Valarmathy
4.M.Soundari
5.E.Perumal
6.M.Kuppan
7.V.Arumugam

.. Petitioners

Vs.

1.Dr.D.Karthikeyan IAS
The Principal Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Local Administration Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600009

2.Mr.J.Kumaragurubaran IAS
The Commissioner,
Greater chennai corporation,
Ribbon building,
Chennai-600 003.

3.Mr.R.Pursothaman,
The Zonal Officer,
Zone-15,
No.120, Rajiv Gandhi Salai,



Greater Chennai Corporation,
Chennai-600 119

WEB COPY

4.Mr.Dakshinamoorthy IAS
The Managing Director,
TamilNadu Chennai Water supply,
Sewerage Board,
Chindhadripet, Chennai.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Contempt petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Court Act, 1971, to punish the Respondents herein for wilful disobedience of the order of this Hon'ble Court made in W.P.No.24881 of 2021 dated 25.07.2024.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Arunkumar

For Respondents : Mr.M.S.Premkumar,
Government Advocate for R1
Mr.S.Gopinathan for R2 & R3

ORDER

This Court, by an order, dated 25.07.2024 in W.P.No.24881 of 2021 passed the following order:

4. In order to avoid unnecessary delay, this Court is of the considered view that it will be appropriate to permit the petitioners to place the relevant material before the respondents 2 and 3 in order to consider the claim in a better manner. Therefore, the petitioners are directed to submit



WEB COPY

Cont.P.No.3993 of



individual representations before the 3rd respondent along with the material in support of their claim within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on submission of such representation by the petitioners, the 3rd respondent shall examine the same by duly affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioners and then submit an appropriate proposals to the 2nd respondent herein within a further period of three months from the date of submission of representation by the petitioners. On such submission of proposal the 2nd respondent shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders as expeditiously as possible at any rate within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the proposal submitted by the 3rd respondent."

2.Today, when the matter is taken up for consideration, it is brought to the notice of this Court by Mr.M.S.Premkumar, learned Government Advocate appearing for the 1st respondent that, the case of the petitioner was considered by the respondent as directed by this Court and appropriate orders have been passed vide in proceedings No.Z.O.5.C.No.A3/000001/2025 dated 09.01.2025 and copies of the said proceedings are also placed before this



Cont.P.No.3993 of

court duly serving on the counsel for the petitioner. In view of the same, this Court does not see any violation of the order passed by this Court, much less, deliberate violation by the respondent. Hence, Contempt petition is closed.

24.01.2025

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
vsn

MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR ,J.



WEB COPY

Cont.P.No.3993 of



vsn

Cont.P. No. 3993 of 2024

24.01.2025