



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 1697 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL RC No. 1697 of 2025

Settimani (manimaran)
S/o.Setti Devender,
Keerthinagar, Gorrekunta, Warangal,
Telungana State, Now at Padmalaya
Nagar, Hayathnagar, Rangareddy
district. Telungana State.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police, Karamadai
Police Station, Coimbatore District.
Cr.No.214/2025

Respondent(s)

CRL RC No. 1697 of 2025

PRAYER

To set aside the order of dismissal passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Mettupalayam and in CrI.M.P.No.768 of 2025 dated 321.07.2025 filed under Section 187(3) of BNSS Act (Under Section 167(2) of CRPC) in Cr.No.214 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police and enlarge the petitioner on bail.



For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.Murali

For Respondent(s): Dr.C.E.Pratap,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

Challenging the impugned order passed by the Judicial Magistrate, Mettupalayam in Crl.M.P.No.768 of 2025 dated 31.07.2025 filed under Sec.187(3) of B.N.S.S. (under Sec.167(2) of Cr.P.C.) in Crime No.214 of 2025 on the file of respondent police, the petitioner/accused preferred this Criminal Revision Petition.

2. Before the trial court, the petitioner filed a petition under Sec.187(3)(i) of B.N.S.S. to enlarge him on bail and the same was dismissed by the trial court as not maintainable. Challenging the said findings, now the petitioner preferred this Criminal Revision Case

3. The learned counsel for petitioner would submit that so far the investigating agency has not filed the final report within 60 days. Hence, he is entitled for mandatory bail and 90 days period applies only to the offence punishable with death, imprisonment for life or imprisonment for a term of not less than 10 years, but without considering the same, the trial court dismissed the petition as such is erroneous one and liable to be set aside.



3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) raised objections stating that nine previous cases pending against him. He would submit that like nature of offence under Part II of Sec.331(4) of B.N.S., the punishment with imprisonment may extend for the period of 14 years and the investigating agency has to file a final report within 90 days.

5. Heard and considered rival submissions made by both learned counsel for petitioner as well as learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for respondent and perused materials available on record.

6. On seeing the facts, it reveals that the petitioner was charged under Sec. 331(4), 305(a) of B.N.S. Like nature of offence under Sec.331(4) of B.N.S., punishment with imprisonment may extend to 14 years and in the present nature of offence, investigating agency has to file the final report within 90 days only and the same was rightly considered by the trial judge, which needs no interference. Therefore, the findings of trial judge in Crl. M.P.No. 768 of 2025 is confirmed and this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed.

17-10-2025



Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

rpp

To

1. Judicial Magistrate, Mettupalayam.
2. The Inspector of Police, Karamadai Police Station, Coimbatore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 1697 of 2025



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.

rpp

**CRL RC No. 1697 of
2025**

17-10-2025