



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.09.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

W.P. No.35433 of 2025 and W.M.P. Nos.39654 and 39656 of 2025

V.Jeyaseela Rani

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome, Chennai – 600 004.
2. The Deputy Inspector General of Registration,
Salem Zone, Deputy Inspector,
General of Regn., Collector Office,
Compound, Salem – 636 001.
3. The District Registrar (Admin),
Krishnagiri District,
1, Thiruvannamalai Road,
Dowladabad, Krishnagiri – 635 107.
4. The Sub Collector, Hosur
Anna Nagar, Denkanikottai Road,
Denkanikottai, Hosur – 635 107.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the charge memo bearing No.2335/A1/2025 dated 04.06.2025 (Impugned Charge Memo) issued under Rule 17 (b) of the Tamil Nadu Civil Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, by the second respondent and quash the same as illegal and arbitrary and direct the respondent's department to restore the petitioner services and pass orders.



WEB COPY

For petitioner : Mr.A.Dhamodaran
For respondents : Mr.U.Baranidharan
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

Mr. U. Bharanidharan, learned Special Government Pleader, accepts notice on behalf of the Respondents. With the consent of the learned counsel on either side, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. This writ petition has been filed to quash the charge memo bearing reference No.2335/A1/2025 dated 04.06.2025 issued under Rule 17 (b) of the Tamil Nadu Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, by the second respondent and direct the respondents to restore the petitioner's services.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader for the respondents and perused the materials on record.

4. It is a well settled principle of law that a writ petition challenging a charge memo, being only in the form of a show cause



WEB COPY

notice, is ordinarily not maintainable, unless it is shown that the charge memo has been issued sans jurisdiction or is vitiated by *mala fides* or bias.

5. Having perused the impugned charge memo, I am of the considered view that none of the aforesaid ground exists to interfere with the impugned charge memo.

6. Be that as it may, it is contended by the petitioner that copies of the documents stated in the charge memo have not been furnished to the petitioner.

7. In view of the above, this Court directs the third respondent to furnish copies of the documents mentioned in the charge memo to the petitioner and conclude the proceedings in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed. No costs.

19.09.2025

dh/cad



WEB COPY



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

dh/cad

To

1. The Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome, Chennai – 600 004.
2. The Deputy Inspector General of Registration,
Salem Zone, Deputy Inspector,
General of Regn., Collector Office,
Compound, Salem – 636 001.
3. The District Registrar (Admin),
Krishnagiri District,
1, Thiruvannamalai Road,
Dowladabad, Krishnagiri – 635 107.
4. The Sub Collector, Hosur
Anna Nagar, Denkanikottai Road,
Denkanikottai, Hosur – 635 107.

W.P.No.35433 of 2025

19.09.2025