



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.25635 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.25635 of 2025

Thangaraj @ Thangavel

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by
Inspector of Police,
Kamanaickenpalayam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
(Cr.No.210 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Cr.No.210 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.


For Petitioner : Mr.N.Ponraj

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence under Section **303(2) of BNS (Old Section 379 of IPC)** r/w **21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957** in **Crime No.210 of 2025**, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks



anticipatory bail.


WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that while the respondent police was on regular checkup, found that the petitioner was illegally transported two units of jelly rock without any permit. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that this is a second anticipatory bail application and there is no change of circumstances in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner was illegally transported two units of jelly rock without any permit. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, taking



CrI.O.P.No.25635 of 2025

WEB COPY

note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), considering the fact that this is a 2nd anticipatory bail application and there is no change of circumstances in this case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

18.09.2025

ssi

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Palladam.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kamanaickenpalayam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.25635 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

ssi

Crl.O.P.No.25635 of 2025

18.09.2025