



Crl.OP.No. 25278 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO. 25278 of 2025

WEB COPY

P.Manivannan

Petitioner

Vs

The State rep. by

The Inspector of Police

W-29, AWPS Avadi Police Station

Chennai

Crime No. 34 of 2025.

Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No. 34 of 2025 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Srinivasan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A) of IPC in Crime No.34 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has continuously harassed the de-facto complainant by demanding loan for a sum of Rs.45,00,000/- for the purpose of constructing a house for the petitioner's sister. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and the de-facto complainant are husband and wife. He further submits that both of them are living in the same house and the petitioner has not harassed the de-facto complainant. He further submits that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that the petitioner is the husband and the de-facto complainant is the wife. He further submits that he continuously harassed her, based on the complaint



given by her, the respondent police registered an FIR against him.

However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsels and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and considering the fact that there is no physical assault on the de-facto complainant, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Poonamallee**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:-

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 25278 of 2020

shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.



Crl.OP.No. 25278 of 2025

23.09.2025

WEB COPY

MSM

To

1. The **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Poonamallee.**

2. The Inspector of Police

W-29, AWPS Avadi Police Station

Chennai, **Crime No. 34 of 2025.**

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 25278 of 2025

MSM

Crl.O.P.No. 25278 of 2025

23.09.2025