



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 868 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR


WP CrI. No. 868 of 2025

B.Sukasini
D/o.Balan, Puzhuthivakkam Village,
Puzhuthivakkam Post,
Madhurantakam Taluk,
Chengalpattu District.

Appellant(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Chengalpattu District, Chengalpattu.
- 2.The Superintendent of Police,
Chengalpattu District, Chengalpattu.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madhurantakam Division,
Madhurantakam, Chengalpattu District.
- 4.The Tahsildar,
Madhurantakam Tahsildhar Office,
Madhurantakam Taluk,
Chengalpattu District.



WEB

5. The Inspector of Police,
Padalam Police Station, Padalam,
Chengalpattu District.

6. Mohan
S/o. Arumugam

7. Ramesh
S/o. Dakshinamoorthy

8. Tamil
S/o. Kuppusamy

9. Sabari
S/o. Thirunavukkarasu

10. Siva
S/o. Duraisamy

11. Shakthi
S/o. Sahadeva

12. Kaali
S/o. Jinthan

13. Karthi
S/o. Perumal,

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st and 5th respondents to take



appropriate action against the 6th and 13th respondents for excommunicating the petitioner and her family members from the offering worship to the 1.Sri Thulukkanathamman, 2.Sri Gangaianman, 3.Sri Nagathamman Temple and to take further action to protect the petitioner and their family members right to worship and direct 1st to 5th respondent to hand over stone idol seized by 1st to 5th respondent to petitioner in Puzhuthivakkam Village, Puzhuthivakkam Post, Madhurantakam Taluk, Chengalpattu District based on the petitioners representation dated 04.09.2025, 11.09.2025 and thus render justice.

For Appellant(s): Mr. M.Nagarajan

For Respondent: Mr. R. Vinothraja,
Govt. Advocate (crl.side)
for R1 to R5

ORDER


This writ petition has been filed seeking issuance of the writ of mandamus to protect the petitioner's right to worship.



2. The petitioner grievance is that he has been excommunicated by the villagers, thereby preventing him from offering worship. Hence he seeks permission to worship the deity.

3. The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondents 1 to 5 would submit that the temple in question is originally Nagathamma temple. However, the petitioner attempted to install and worship another deity, which was objected by the villagers. Consequently, the revenue authorities intervened, removed the said statue and kept it in the Taluk Office and hence, the petitioner has come up with the false allegations.

5. Upon considering the above submissions, and on perusal of the entire records, this Court is of the view that mere shake of the petitioner's allegation, such blanket direction as sought for can not be issued by this Court. Let the Revenue Divisional Officer shall consider and decide any law and order issue, in accordance with law and the police authorities shall also take suitable action as per law.



6. In view of the above, this Writ Petition(criminal) is dismissed.

WEB COPY

17-09-2025

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

mrp

To

1.The District Collector,
Chengalpattu District, Chengalpattu.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
Chengalpattu District, Chengalpattu.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madhurantakam Division,
Madhurantakam, Chengalpattu District.

4.The Tahsildar,
Madhurantakam Tahsildhar Office,
Madhurantakam Taluk, Chengalpattu
District.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Padalam Police Station, Padalam,
Chengalpattu District.



WEB COPY

WP Crl. No. 868 of 2025



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

mrp

WP Crl. No. 868 of 2025

17-09-2025