



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 11.09.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE P.B. BALAJI
CRP.Nos.4369 to 4371 of 2025

1. J.Vathsala

2.B.Vidyalakshmi

... Petitioner in all petitions

Vs.

1. A.Parthasarathy

A.Rathinakumar (Died)

2. A.Ramanathan

3. A.Shanmuganatham

4. A.Senapathy

5. R.Maduradevi

6. R.Kasi Viswanathan

7. R.Saravana Manickam

8. R.Sivagami

... Respondents in all petitions

COMMON PRAYER: Civil Revision Petitions filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India, to set aside the Docket Order dated 29.08.2025 in



I.A.SR.Nos.4452 to 4454 of 2025 in O.S.No.277 of 2020 on the file of the learned II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruppur number and dispose the same in accordance with law by appreciating the above stated facts and circumstances.

For Petitioner
in all petitions : Mr.V.Karthikeyan

COMMON ORDER

These Civil Revision Petitions have been filed to set aside the Docket Order dated 29.08.2025 in I.A.SR.Nos.4452 to 4454 of 2025 in O.S.No.277 of 2020 on the file of the learned II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruppur number and dispose the same in accordance with law.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the revision petitioners in all these petitions.

3. The parties have entered into a compromise before the Lok Adalat and an award came to be passed on 06.05.2021. It is the case of the revision petitioners that pursuant to the award being passed, the mistake in the boundaries has been noticed and hence, the petitioners moved an application before the learned II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruppur seeking modification of the award to incorporate the correct boundaries in



WEB COPY

the schedule to the property. However, the said application was returned on the ground that the Court has no jurisdiction to rectify the mistakes in the boundaries mentioned in the award passed by the Lok Adalat.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners invited the attention of this Court to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Compack Enterprises India Private Limited Vs. Beant Singh reported in (2021) 3 SCC 702*** wherein it is held that even in a consent decree, it is permissible for the Court to rectify any clerical or arithmetical mistake. In the present case, correction sought for is only to replace the wrong boundaries mentioned in the schedule of properties. In fact, notices have also been served on the respondents, who are all parties to the award and they have also expressed no objections. In view of the above, I am inclined to set aside the docket order dated 28.09.2025 and the amendment sought for by the petitioner is to be allowed. The trial Court shall direct its Registry to carry out necessary amendments and issue fresh order copy on the parties. Registry is directed to return the original application to the learned counsel for the petitioner within a period of one week.



In the result, this Civil Revision Petition is allowed. No costs.

WEB COPY

11.09.2025

Speaking/Non-speaking : Yes/No

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

dh

To

The Principal District Munsif,

Virudhachalam



WEB COPY



P.B. BALAJI,J.

dh

CRP.Nos.4369 to 4371 of 2025

11.09.2025