



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 24794 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **22-09-2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO. 24794 of 2025

Uthamasuriyan @ Uthamasuriya prakash

Petitioner/A1

Vs

The State rep. by

The Inspector of Police
Palladam Police Station

Tiruppur.

Crime No. 896 of 2025.

Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest pending investigation in Cr.No. 896 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.


For Petitioner : Mr.P.Thinesh

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) and 126(2) of BNS Act, 2023 in Crime No. 896 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The allegation of the petitioner is that there was wordy quarrel between the petitioner & others and the de-facto complainant. Over the escalating arguments, the petitioners had assaulted the de-facto complainant and threatened the de-facto complainant with dire consequences. In the impact, the de-facto complainant had sustained injuries. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 24794 of 2022

5. Heard the learned counsels and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsels on either side and considering the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Palladam, Tiruppur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** **with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No. 24794 of 2025

Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

22.09.2025

MSM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Palladam, Tiruppur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police, Palladam Police Station
Tiruppur. Crime No. 896 of 2025.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 24794 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MSM

Crl.O.P.No. 24794 of 2025

22.09.2025