



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-09-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P.No.24953 of 2025

1. Ajay
2. Vijayakumar

Petitioners

Vs

The State, rep. by its
Inspector of Police,
G-5 Secretariat Colony Police Station,
Kilpauk, Chennai.
Crime No.282 of 2025.


Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Vasanth

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udhayakumar
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The Petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 351(3) of BNS Act r/w and Section 4 Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.282 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of prosecution is that the defacto complainant's son attended the funeral function, wherein Ajay and his friends picked up quarrels with him, subsequently, the accused persons came to the house of the defacto complainant at 11.00 p.m., picked up quarrels, scolded her with abusive words and threatened her son with dire consequences. Hence this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that there was some wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the complaint and custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required for the investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance,



WEB COPY

within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **learned II Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore, Chennai**, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;



WEB COPY



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

kmm

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

12.09.2025

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
kmm
To

1. The II Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
G-5 Secretariat Colony Police Station, Kilpauk, Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Chennai.

CRL.O.P.No.24953 of 2025