



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.24882 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.24882 of 2025

1. Yaswanth Rao Beemji
2. Jebamani

... Petitioners

Vs.

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
M1- Madhavaram Police Station
Chennai District.
(Cr.No. 566 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Crime
No.566 of 2025 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. A.Elumalai

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial
custody on 23.08.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
296(b), 115(2), 309(4), 311, 125, 324(2), 351(3) of BNS, Act in Crime No.
566 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks bail.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.24882 of 2025

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons had waylaid the defacto complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.400/- from him at knife point and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioners are in no way connected with the alleged offence, however, they are suffering incarceration from 23.08.2025. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioners, submitted that the accused persons had waylaid the defacto complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.400/- from him at knife point and also threatened him with dire consequences. He also submitted that the petitioners are history sheeted rowdy in H.S.No.29 of 2024 and there are four previous cases pending against the petitioners.



Crl.OP.No.24882 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, taking into account that four previous cases were pending against the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

17.09.2025

nr

To

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate, Madhavaram

2. The Inspector of Police,
M1- Madhavaram Police Station
Chennai District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.24882 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

nr

Crl.O.P.No.24882 of 2025

17.09.2025