



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 808 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

WP CrI. No. 808 of 2025

Senthilkumar

Appellant(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvarur District.

2. The Inspector of Police
Town East Police Station,
Thiruvarur.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Calling for the records pertaining to the impugned order of the 2nd respondent herein in Na.Ka.85/B1.Na.Ka.A/Thiru /2025, dated 04.09.2025 and quash the same and consequently direct the 2nd respondent herein to give permission to set up speaker and mic arrangements during the protest based on the petitioner's representation dated 04.09.2025.

For Appellant(s): Mr.G.Karthikeyan, Senior Counsel
for M/s.A. Jagadeeswari

For Respondents: Mr.K.M.D.Muhilan,
Additional Public Prosecutor




ORDER

WEB COPY This petition has been filed to quash the impugned order of the 2nd respondent herein in Na.Ka.85/B1.Na.Ka.A/Thiru /2025, dated 04.09.2025 and and consequently direct the 2nd respondent herein to give permission to set up speaker and mic arrangements during the protest based on the petitioner's representation dated 04.09.2025.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that the festival commenced and proceeded well with the support and guidance of the Police. However, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Tiruvarur led an attack on the devotees and prevented peaceful procession. Hence, he sought permission to raise objection as against the action of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, but the same was rejected and hence, the present petition.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor on instructions submitted that though permission was granted for procession between 4.00 p.m. and 8.00 pm on 18.08.025, the petitioner's group violated that condition and started the



procession at 7.45 pm. and conducted upto 4.30 a.m., which included some obscene dance, disturbance and causing nuisance to the general public.

WEB COPY

Therefore, taking note of the above violations, the permission sought for by the petitioner was not granted.

4. During arguments, videography is shown to Mr.Karthikeyan, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. In view of the above submissions, this Court is of the view that at present, there is no need to give permission to the petitioner to raise any such objection, taking note of the violations, which is apparent. However, in future if really there is any violation, fresh representation may be given to the authorities concerned and the same shall be considered on merits.

7. Mr.G.Karthikeyan, learned Senior Counsel is requested to advice the petitioner's group to not to involve in any such activities. If any one or two



person's involvement in such activities, will bring disrespect to the entire group
and its leader.

WEB COPY

8. With the above observations, this petition is closed.

10-09-2025

pvs

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To
WEB COPY

1. The Superintendent of Police,
office of Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvarur District.
2. The Inspector of Police
Town East Police Station, Thiruvarur.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 808 of 2025



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

pvs

WP CrI. No. 808 of 2025

10-09-2025