



W.P. No.34605 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

W.P. No.34605 of 2025

and

W.M.P. Nos.38788 and 38790 of 2025

M/s.Sekar Constructions
Represented by its Proprietor A.Sekar,
No.11/33, Chengam Road,
Thiruvannamalai-606 603.

...Petitioner(s)

vs.


1.The Deputy Commissioner (ST),
Thiruvannamalai CT District,
Integrated Commercial Taxes Buildings,
Collectorate Master Plan Complex,
Vengikal, Thiruvannamalai-606 604.

2.The Deputy State Tax Officer,
Thiruvannamalai-II Assessment Circle,
Integrated Commercial Taxes Buildings,
2nd Floor, Vengikal,
Collectorate Master Plan Complex,
Thiruvannamalai-606 604.

3.The Branch Manager,
Tamilnad Mercantile Bank Ltd.,
91/106, Big Street,
Thiruvannamalai-606 601.

...Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records of the 2nd respondent in his proceedings in GSTIN:33AYMPS8160P1ZJ/2019-20, quash the order



W.P. No.34605 of 2025

dated 31.12.2024 passed therein.

For Petitioner(s)

: Mr.P.V.Sudakar

For Respondent(s)
1 & 2

: Mr.C.Harsha Raj
Special Government Pleader

WEB COPY

ORDER


By consent of learned counsel on both sides, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The present writ petition is filed challenging the order of adjudication on the premise that it is contrary to the orders of this Court in a batch of matters, one of them being W.P.No.3584 of 2025.

3. It is submitted by both the learned counsel for petitioner and respondents in unison that the issue stands covered by the above orders of this Court, the relevant portions of which is extracted hereunder:

“5. Considering the fact that the legal issue involved in this writ petition has already been dealt with by this Court in a batch of Writ petitions, viz., in W.P.No.25081 of 2024 etc., dated 17.10.2024, this Court is inclined to dispose of the present writ petition on the same lines. For better appreciation, the operative portion of the said decision is as follows:

“9. The petitioners in all these Writ Petitions are registered dealers on the files of the respondent~Department under the



WEB COPY

provisions of the Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017/CGST Act 2017. Though the petitioners have filed GSTR~1 returns in time, however, insofar as claim of ITC is concerned, since the petitioners were faced with certain difficulties, such as Financial constraints (as there was complete lockdown due to outbreak Covid-19) health related ailments, fire accidents, they were unable to file GSTR~3B returns, which resulted them in not being able to claim ITC in time before the prescribed date. Whereas, the respondent-Department, without considering such vital aspects and that reasons for the delay is not deliberate, issued the show cause notices to the petitioners, proposing to reverse the ITC availed and went to the extreme level of confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notices by passing the impugned orders, whereby, the claim made by the petitioners for ITC was reversed and the petitioners have been directed to tax/penalty/interest. Aggrieved against the impugned orders, the petitioners are before this Court by way of present Writ Petitions seeking for setting aside the impugned orders.

10. After the filing of these Writ Petitions, certain development took place, i.e. that 53rd GST Council Meeting was held on 22.06.2024, and during the said Meeting, the GST Council recommended for extension of the deadline for availing ITC on any invoice or debit note under Section 16(4) of the CGST Act and this extension would be applicable to any GSTR-3B returns filed for the Fys 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21 with a new deadline deemed to be as "30.11.2021", to which, the Presidential Assent was also obtained by the Government of India on 16.08.2024, whereby, the financial proposals of the Central Government for the Financial Year 2024-25 was given effect to vide Finance Act, (No.2) of 2024, and in view of the aforesaid enactment, the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs, issued a Notification, bearing No.17 of 2024-Central Tax, dated 27.09.2024, pursuant to which, a Circular No.237/31/2024-GST was issued by the Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs, which was addressed to all the Principal Chief Commissioners/Chief Commissioners/Principal Commissioners/Commissioners of Central Tax (All), thereby, clarifying the issues regarding implementation of provision of sub-section (5) and sub~section (6) in Section 16 of CGST Act, 2017, by



WEB COPY

virtue of the above notification, the impugned orders are no longer sustainable and liable to be quashed. In this context, it would be apposite to refer to both Section 16(4) of the CGST Act, 2017, as well as amendment made to Section 16 (4) by interpolations of sub-sections 16 (5) and (6), and by insertion of sub-section (5) to Section 16, which are extracted hereinunder:-

Section 16 (4)

“A registered person shall not be entitled to take input tax credit in respect of any invoice or debit note for supply of goods or services or both after the thirtieth day of November following the end of financial year to which such invoice or debit note pertains or furnishing of the relevant annual return, whichever is earlier.”

Section 16 (5) :-

“Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (4), in respect of an invoice or debit note for supply of goods or services or both pertaining to the Financial Years 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21, the registered persons shall be entitled to take input tax credit in any return under section 39 which is filed upto the thirtieth day of November, 2021.”

10.1 Thus, a perusal of above Section 16 (5) makes it clear that -notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section 4, referred to above, in respect of any invoice or debit note for supply of goods or services or both pertaining to the Fys 2017~18 to 2020~21, the registered persons shall be entitled to take ITC in any return under section 39 which is filed upto the 30th day of November, 2021.

10.2 Thus, this Court considering the fact that the issue involved in all these Writ Petitions is only with regard to the availment of ITC, which is barred by limitation in terms of Section 16 (4) of the CGST Act, and in the light of the subsequent developments took place, whereby, Section 16 of the CGST Act was amended and sub-section (5) was inserted to Section 16, which came into force with retrospective effect from 01.07.2017, the petitioners are entitled to avail ITC in respect of GSTR-3B filed in respect of FYs 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21 as the case may be, on or before 30.11.2021, is inclined to quash the impugned orders.



WEB COPY

11. Accordingly, this Court passes the following orders.

i) The orders impugned in all Writ Petitions are quashed insofar as it relates to the claim made by the petitioners for ITC which is barred by limitation in terms of Section 16 (4) of the CGST Act, 2017 but, within the period prescribed in terms of Section 16 (5) of the said Act.

ii) Therefore, the respondent~Department is restrained from initiating any proceedings against the petitioners by virtue of the impugned orders based on the issue of limitation.

iii) In view of the fact that the impugned orders are quashed, the respondent~Department is directed to take immediate steps towards de-freeze of the concerned petitioners bank accounts, which have been frozen in furtherance of the impugned orders, by sending intimation to the concerned bankers.

iv) In the event, in the interregnum, i.e. during the pendency of these Writ Petitions, if any orders are proposed to be passed towards recovery, same shall be dropped immediately upon production of the order copy by the petitioners, in whichever case, where, there is no interim order.

v) It is also made clear that if at all, if there is any tax amounts were collected from the petitioners based on the impugned assessment orders from the cash ledgers/credit ledgers of the petitioners concerned, the same shall be refunded to them or by means of orders of this Court or even in the absence of any order from this Court, if any amount is deposited either in the cash ledgers/credit ledgers of the petitioners concerned, the same is permitted to be utilized/adjusted by the petitioners towards payment of future tax.

iii) Insofar as the apprehension expressed by the learned Additional Advocate General for the respondent~Department that in certain Writ Petition apart from the issue on limitation, challenges have also been made to the order related to issues such as discrepancies in availing the ITC/wrong availing of ITC/excess claim of ITC/Fake ITC claim, as the case may be, or such other issues, liberty is be granted to the respondent~Department to proceed against the assessee/petitioners in furtherance of the impugned orders in accordance with law.



W.P. No.34605 of 2025

12. *In the result, all the Writ Petitions are allowed on the aforesaid terms. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.*”

4. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed of on the above terms.

No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

12.09.2025

Speaking (or) Non Speaking Order

Index : Yes/ No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

mka

To:

1.The Deputy Commissioner (ST),
Thiruvannamalai CT District,



W.P. No.34605 of 2025

Integrated Commercial Taxes Buildings,
Collectorate Master Plan Complex,
Vengikal, Thiruvannamalai-606 604.

2.The Deputy State Tax Officer,
Thiruvannamalai-II Assessment Circle,
Integrated Commercial Taxes Buildings,
2nd Floor, Vengikal,
Collectorate Master Plan Complex,
Thiruvannamalai-606 604.

3.The Branch Manager,
Tamilnad Mercantile Bank Ltd.,
91/106, Big Street,
Thiruvannamalai-606 601.



WEB COPY



W.P. No.34605 of 2025

MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J.

mka

W.P. No.34605 of 2025

12.09.2025