



W.P.M.P (Crl.)No.350 of 2025 in W.P.(Crl) No.779 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED : 04.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR**

W.P.M.P (Crl.)No.350 of 2025
in
W.P.(Crl.) No.779 of 2025

Mrs.R.Indira
W/o.Rajini @ Parthiban

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State rep. by its
The Director General of Prison and
Inspector General of Prison
Whannels Road, Egmore, Chennai - 600 008

2. The Deputy Inspector General of Prison
Vellore Range
Thorapadi, Vellore - 2

3. The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison at Vellore
Ramset Nagar, Thorapadi, Vellore - 2

... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Rajendra Kumar
for Mr.M.Mohamed Saifulla

For Respondents : Mr.A.Damodaran

Page Nos.1/4



W.P.M.P (Crl.)No.350 of 2025 in W.P.(Crl) No.779 of 2025

Additional Public Prosecutor

WEB COPY

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,)

Captioned 'Writ Miscellaneous Petition' ['WMP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed with a prayer to dispense with production of certified copy of 'order passed in No.RO-VLR/653/2025-CAMP (A) dated 29.08.2025 by the second respondent' [hereinafter 'impugned order' for the sake of brevity, convenience and clarity].

2. Learned counsel for writ petitioner submits that the impugned order was communicated by the second respondent only with digital sign on 29.08.2025 and the petitioner is not able to get an impugned order signed by the second respondent. Learned counsel drew the attention of this Court to Paragraph 7 in the support affidavit and the same reads as follows:

7. I submitted that the above said impugned rejection was communicated by the 2nd respondent by Digitally signed dated 29.08.2025, I am not able to get an impugned order where



W.P.M.P (Crl.)No.350 of 2025 in W.P.(Crl) No.779 of 2025

WEB COPY *originally signed instead of digitally signed from the R2, non-filing of the said certified copy Impugned order is neither willful nor wanton but due to the said valid reasons.'*

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case on hand and taking note of the nature of the matter, aforementioned reason is accepted.

4. In the light of the narrative thus far, dispense with prayer is acceded to. Captioned WMP is ordered as prayed for .

gpa

[M.S.,J.] [M.S.K.,J.]
04.09.2025
(2/2)



WEB COPY



W.P.M.P (Crl.)No.350 of 2025 in W.P.(Crl) No.779 of 2025

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR, J.,**

gpa

**W.P.M.P (Crl.)No.350 of 2025
in
W.P.(Crl) No.779 of 2025**

04.09.2025