



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.24569 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.24569 of 2025

K.Kandasamy
Petitioner/A1

...

Vs.

The State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Vellakoil Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
Crime No.379 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.379 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.S.Saravanan
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)



Crl.O.P.No.24569 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner herein apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 329(3) and 351(3) of BNS 2023 [Sections 294(b), 447, 506(ii) of IPC] and Section 3 of Tamil Nadu Public Property (Prevention of Damage & Loss) Act 1992 in Crime No.379 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there is a civil dispute pending in O.S.No.79 of 2025 between the petitioner's family and the de facto complainant. While being so, the petitioner and his brother-in-law alleged to have damaged the fences of the de facto complainant's property and also damaged the CCTV camera and cable wire of EB worth of Rs.25,000/-. Furthermore, it is alleged that the petitioner threatened the de facto complainant. Hence, the present complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the



Crl.O.P.No.24569 of 2025

WEB COPY

respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the complaint, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Kangeyam**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only)** with two sureties, **in which one surety must be a blood surety** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.24569 of 2025

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) to the credit of Crime No.379 of 2025 before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kangeyam, and on such deposit, the de facto complainant is permitted to withdraw the same on proper acknowledgment.

[d] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.



WEB COPY

cda



CrI.O.P.No.24569 of 2025

10.09.2025

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Kangeyam.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Vellakoil Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

cda



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.24569 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.24569 of 2025

10.09.2025