



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P.No.24455 of 2025

1. Subramani
2. Rani
3. Sarumathi

Petitioners

Vs

State, rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Valasaravakkam Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
Crime No.429 of 2025.

Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.C.Arivazhagan
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udhayakumar
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The Petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 75 & 351(2) of BNS r/w Section 4 of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.429 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and defacto complainant both the wordy quarreled, and petitioners scolded the defacto complainant in filthy language. Hence this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that there was some wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the complaint and custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required for the investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Poonamallee**, on condition that



WEB COPY

each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of eight weeks.

[c] the second and third petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.



kmm

WEB COPY

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

12.09.2025

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
kmm

To

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Poonamallee.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Valasaravakkam Police Station, Thiruvallur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Chennai.

CRL.O.P.No.24455 of 2025