



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.01.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR

and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

W.P.No.35053 of 2024

Gnanasekar
S/o.Late Nadaraj

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector
Erode
Erode District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Gobichettipalayam
Erode District.
3. The Tahsildhar
Sathyamangalam
Erode District.
4. S.K.Kumar
S/o.Kaliannan
5. Murthy
S/o.Kumarasamy
6. Chidambaram

Page Nos.1/20



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

S/o.Rama Samy

WEB COPY

7. Rasu @ Muthusamy
S/o.Rama Samy

8. Vennila
W/o.Muthusamy

9. Buvaaneswari
W/o.Chidambaram

10. Pavalakodi
W/o.Komarasamy

11. Santhi
W/o.Murthy

... Respondents

Prayer :

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 3 to remove the encroachments in Old Survey Numbers 63, 64, 65 and 66 corresponding to New Survey Numbers 23, 21 and 20 respectively of B.Varathampalayam Ward, Sathyamangalam Town, Sathyamangalam Taluk, Erode District by considering the representation dated 27.08.2024.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.R.Agilesh
For Respondents	:	Mr.T.K.Saravanan Government Advocate for R1 to R3



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.,**]

This order will now dispose of the captioned main 'Writ Petition' {'WP'}.

2. This order has to be read in conjunction with and in continuation of earlier proceedings made in the previous listing on 17.12.2024 which reads as follows:

'W.P. No.35053 of 2024

M. SUNDAR, J.

and

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

Read this in conjunction with and in continuation of earlier proceedings made in the previous listings on 26.11.2024 and 03.12.2024 which read as follows:

Proceedings dated 26.11.2024:

'W.P.No.35053 of 2024

M.SUNDAR, J.,

and

K.RAJASEKAR, J.,

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR. J.,]

Captioned 'Writ Petition' {hereinafter 'WP' for the sake of brevity} pertains to removal of alleged encroachment.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

2. Issue notice to official respondents.

3. Mr.T.K.Saravanan, learned Government Advocate accepts notice for official respondents i.e., R1 to R3 and submits that encroachment have since been removed pursuant to orders of this Court but learned counsel for writ petitioner enters upon contestation and says that it is a different matter.

4. Let learned State Counsel get instructions.

List day-after-tomorrow in the Admission Board i.e., Motion List on 28.11.2024.'

Proceedings dated 03.12.2024:

'W.P.No.35053 of 2024

M.SUNDAR, J.,

and

K.RAJASEKAR, J.,

***[Order of the Court was made by
M.SUNDAR. J.,]***

In continuation of earlier proceedings dated 26.11.2024, learned State Counsel requests for a short accommodation to file a 'ATR' {'Action Taken Report'} and status report. Request acceded to.


List a fortnight hence. List on 17.12.2024.'

2. Adverting to the aforereferred two proceedings, learned State counsel requests for further time to file Action Taken Report (ATR) and status report. Request acceded to.

3. List under the same cause list caption in the Admission Board i.e., Motion List, three weeks hence.

4. List on 07.01.2025.'

3. Today, learned State Counsel has placed before us an ATR in the



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

form of counter affidavit dated 16.12.2024 (to be noted, learned counsel for writ petitioner has been favoured with a copy of ATR) and a scanned reproduction of the same is as follows:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
W.P. No. 35053 OF 2024**

Gnanasekar, aged 48 years,
S/o. Late Nadaraj,
Door No.299, Mahaliamman Koil Thottam,
Periyakulam,
Varathampalayam Village,
Sathyamangalam Taluk,
Erode District.

- Petitioner


Versus

1. District Collector,
Erode,
Erode District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Gobichettipalayam,
Erode District.
3. The Tahsildar,
Sathyamangalam,
Erode District.
4. S.K. Kumar,
S/o. Kaliannan,
159, Varadhampalayam,
Sathyamangalam,
Erode District.
5. Murthy,
S/o. Kumarasamy,
Kasindha Reddiyar Thottam.
Varadhampalayam,
Sathyamangalam,
Erode District.
6. Chidambaram,
S/o. Ramasamy,
Venu Chettiar Thottam.

Page No.1
Corrections :

P. SUNDARAM, B.Com. LL.B.,
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode (Dt),
Cell : 98423 75099

Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

Varadhampalayam,
Sathyamangalam,
Erode District.

7. Rasu @ Muthusamy,
S/o. Ramasamy,

8. Vennila,
W/o. Muthusamy,

9. Buvaneswari,
W/o. Chidambaram,

10. Pavalakodi,
W/o. Komarasamy,

11. Santhi,
W/o. Murthy,
Respondents 7 to 11 are residing at
Periyakulam,
Varadhampalayam,
Sathyamangalam,
Erode District.


- Respondent

STATUS REPORT FILED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT

I, S.S.S. Sakthivel Son of S/o, S.K.Sellamuthu aged about 49 years, discharging my duties as Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam Taluk, Erode District residing at Tahsildar's Quarters, Sathyamangalam, Sathyamangalam Taluk, Erode District do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows :

1. I am the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam and as such I am well acquainted with the facts of the case and I am filing this Status report on behalf of myself as respondent 3 and also on behalf of 1st and 2nd respondents of this writ petition.

Page No.2
Corrections :


16.12.2024
P. SUNDARAM, B.Com.,LL.B.,
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode (Dt),
Cell : 98423 75099


Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.



WEB COPY




W.P.No.35053 of 2024

2. I respectfully submit that the writ petitioner Thiru Gnanasekaran, S/o. Late. Nadaraj, has filed this Writ Petition in W.P. No.35053 of 2024 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras, prayed to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other writ or direction in the nature of writ directing the respondents 1 to 3 to remove the encroachments in Old Survey Number 63, 64, 65 and 66 corresponding to New Survey Numbers 23, 21 and 20 respectively of B.Varadhampalayam Ward, Sathyamangalam Town, Sathyamangalam Taluk, Erode District by considering my representation dated 27.08.2024.

3. I respectfully submit that later, the above complaint petitioner Thiru Govindasamy has filed a writ petition in W.P. No.7930 of 2023 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras impleaded the District Collector, Erode (1), The Revenue Divisional Officer, Gobichettipalayam (2), the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam (3) as official respondents and one private Respondent, Chidhambaram along with 6 other private Respondents and prayed to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other writ or direction in the nature of writ directing the 3rd respondent to remove the encroachments in Survey

Page No.3

Corrections : P. SUNDARAM, B.Com., LL.B.,
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode (Dt),
Cell : 96423 75099


Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

Numbers 63, 64, 65, 66 which are Town Survey Numbers 20, 21, 23 in Block 2, B.Varadhampalayam Ward, Sathyamangalam Town, Sathyamangalam Taluk, Erode District by considering the petitioner's representation dated 28.12.2021 and pass any other order or direction this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and thus render justice. On the writ petition, the Hon'ble Court has ordered on 15.03.2023 as;

5. Recording the aforesaid submission made by learned State Government Pleader, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent authorities to conduct a survey of the lands in question with the assistance of the jurisdictional Surveyor and, if any encroachment is found, the same shall be removed after notice to the encroachers. The entire exercise is to be completed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There will be no order as to costs".

4. I respectfully submit that in accordance with the order dated 15.03.2023, the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam has sent a memorandum in Na. Ka. 1671/2023/A3 dated 30.03.2023 to the Revenue Inspector, Town Surveyor and the Village Administrative Officer concerned. In this connection, the Revenue Inspector, Sathyamangalam Firka has submitted the report dated 25.04.2023 as follows

"after the issuance of the notice when entering into the disputed lands accompanied with the Town Surveyor, Village Administrative Officer for the survey work on 18.04.2023, a group consisting of 10 women and 3 men totally 13 persons have agitated and not allowed for the survey work on the poramboke lands and hence, the survey work was not done.

Page No.4
Corrections :

P. Sundaram
16.12.2024
P. SUNDARAM, B.Com.,LL.B.,
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Sathiyamangalam, Erode (Dt).

[Signature]
Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam,



WEB COPY




W.P.No.35053 of 2024

Thereafter that, it was planned and arranged for the survey work on the lands on 25.04.2023 with the protection of the team of the police personnel consisting 20 police personnel. But due to a murder incident occurred in the above taluk, it could not be done the survey work at 10.00 a.m. as planned. After that on the same day 2 Noon, when entering into the water course poramboke lands for the survey work with the help of the Town Surveyor, Village Administrative Officer, two Village Assistants, 1 women sub inspector of police, 3 women constables and 3 men police personnel, a group consisting of 31 women and 5 men were stands there with kerosene cans and objected for the survey work. It was informed to them that as per the order of the Court the action are being taken to survey the lands in accordance with law and if anybody causes hindrance for the survey work necessary action will be taken and subsequently conciliation talk was conducted. But, the public have objected the survey work. It was not able to control the agitators because of the protection extended with the less strength of Police Personnel. Since, the strength of the agitators is about 35, the survey would be done only with the more police personnel. So the survey work was not done today".

5. I respectfully submit that in obedience to the order of the Hon'ble Court, the survey has been fixed again on 15.05.2023 with the protection of the Police officials and found that the following encroachments were there on the Government Poramboke Lands:

Page No.5
Corrections :


P. SUNDARAM, B.Com, U.B.,
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 75099, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Complex,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode (Dt),
Tamil Nadu
Cell : 98423 75099


Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

Sl. No.	Ward No. T.S. No.	Total Extent	Encroachment portion	Category of encroachment	Name of the encroacher
1.	Ward B Block-2, T.S. No.20	0.36.0	0.01.39	By way of agriculture	Viswanathan
2.	Ward B Block-2, T.S. No.20	0.36.0	0.01.40	By way of agriculture	Periyasamy
3.	Ward B Block-2, T.S. No.20	0.36.0	0.11.65	By way of agriculture	Sellappan
4.	Ward B Block-2, T.S. No.21	0.48.0	0.11.32	By way of agriculture	Chidambaram
5.	Ward B Block-2, T.S. No.21	0.48.0	0.17.90	By way of agriculture	Govindasamy
6.	Ward B Block-2, T.S. No.23	0.53.50	0.08.155	By way of agriculture	Kumar
7.	Ward B Block-2, T.S. No.23	0.53.50	0.03.99	By way of agriculture	Moorthy
8.	Ward B Block-2, T.S. No.23	0.53.50	0.05.25	By way of agriculture	Muthusamy

6. In these circumstances, S.K.Kumar, 4th Respondent herein and 2 others filed a suit in O.S. No. 50/2023 dated 13.04.2023 before the District Munsiff Court, Sathyamangalam against the Government officials and the same is pending for Trail.

[Signature]
10.12.2024
P. SUNDARAM, B.Com., LL.B.,
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode (Dt),
Cell : 98423 75099

[Signature]
Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

7. I submit that, on 16.05.2023, the show cause notice was issued to the encroachers u/s 7 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachments Act 1905 were given by the Revenue Inspector, Sathyamangalam Firka to the encroachers intimated that since the notices are issued according to the order dated 15.03.2023 of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.7930 of 2023 instructed them to give reply on or before 31.05.2023 regarding the encroachment made by them.
8. I respectfully submit that among the encroachers, Tvl. Moorthy, Muthusamy, S.K. Kumar and Chidambaram have submitted the representation dated 19.05.2023 to the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam requested to regularize the encroachments and issue the patta on the poramboke lands. But the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam has categorically rejected the representations vide Na. Ka. 8828 / 2021 /A3 dated 07.06.2023.
9. I respectfully submit that since the encroachers have not removed their occupation from the lands, the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam has issued the final notice on 06.06.2023 u/s 6 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachments Act 1905 to the encroachers, in which the Revenue Inspector, Sathyamangalam was authorized to evict the encroachments.
10. I respectfully submit that further, the encroachers have submitted the representations before the District Collector, Erode during the Weekly Grievance Redress Day on 12.06.2023 informed that they have filed a suit in O.S.No.50/2023 before the District Munsiff Court, Sathyamangalam. Subsequently, the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam has issued the proceedings in Na. Ka. 8828/2021/A3 dated 20.06.2023 ordered the Zonal Deputy

Page No.7
Corrections :

P. SUNDARAM, B.Com, LL.B.,
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 78096, Reg. No. 7657,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Gounderipalayam, Erode (Dt),
Call : 98423 75099

Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam,



WEB COPY





W.P.No.35053 of 2024

Tahsildar, Revenue Inspector and the Village Administrative Officer to evict the encroachments and send the compliance report.

11. I respectfully submit that in this regard the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam sought for the legal opinion from the Government Pleader in respect of the removal of the encroachments as directed by the Hon'ble High Court. The Government Pleader has offered the legal opinion on 27.07.2023 that "there is no legal problem to evict the encroachments in the Town Survey No.63, 64, 65, 66 in Ward-B, Block-2 of Varadhampalayam Village". Since there are green trees in the suit property, the factum of the case was submitted to the District Collector, Erode District, Erode by the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam in Na. Ka. 8828/2021/A3 dated 31.08.2023 sought the clarification to proceed further.
12. I respectfully submit that in these circumstances, the encroachers viz. K. Moorthy, S.K. Kumar, R. Muthusamy and R. Chidambaram have filed the separate writ petitions before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in the W.P. No.27120/2023, 27125/2023, 27129/2023 and 27133/2023 respectively prayed for seeking a writ of mandamus, forbearing the respondents from disturbing with the peaceful possession of the petitioner over the agricultural land comprised in old survey no.121/2 & 62, 63, Varathampalayam Village till the disposal of the appeal pending before the first respondent and without following the due process of law by considering the representation dated 02.09.2023. On these writ petitions, the Hon'ble Court has ordered on 20.09.2023 as follows:

Page No.8
Corrections :


P. SUNDARAM, B.Com.,LL.B.
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode (DT);
Cell : 98423 75099


Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

COMMON ORDER

Heard Mr. R. Nalliyappan, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. P. Muthukumar, learned State Government Pleader assisted by Mrs. R. Anitha, learned Special Government Pleader for respondents 1 to 4.

2. An order under section 6 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act 1905 has been passed against the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that an appeal has been filed with the first respondent.


4. In an appeal also, the petitioners have a remedy to file a stay petition. It is for the petitioners to file a stay petition, as may be permissible under law. As against the private respondents, the petitioners have also filed a civil suit and the same is pending. Moreover in writ jurisdiction, no order of injunction could be passed in respect of a private property and as against a private party.


5. In case any stay petition is filed by the petitioners, the first respondent may decide the same on its own merits expeditiously.

6. With these observations, these writ petitions stands disposed of. There will be no order as to costs. Consequently, W.M.P. Nos. 26565, 26569, 26576 & 26682 of 2023 are closed"

13. I respectfully submit that in these circumstances, the above petitioner Thiru Govindasamy has filed a Contempt Petition No.154 of 2023 in W.P. No.7930 of 2023 impleaded the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam Taluk, Erode District prayed to punish the respondent herein for willfully and wantonly disobeying the order of this Hon'ble Court dated 15.03.2023 passed in W.P. No.7930 of 2023 and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice. Since, out of the 8 encroachers, the petitioner of this Contempt Petition is one of the encroacher that too the petitioner has occupied huge extent than the other encroachers, the

Page No.9
Corrections :


P. SUNDARAM, B.Com..LL.B.,
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode (Dt),
Cell : 98423 75099


Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

contempt petition is not having any prima facie, since the action was being taken then and there to evict the encroachment as prayed by the petitioner. Since there are some well grown green trees in the suit properties, the clarification was sought from the District Collector, Erode with regard to dispose the trees and also the appeal petitions are pending, the further action was kept pending to remove the encroachments of 8 persons including the petitioner. On 27.01.2024, out of the 8 encroachers including the petitioner, the 7 encroachers have removed their encroachments themselves. But the petitioner has not come forward to remove his encroachments from the suit property. With regard to the green trees, the encroachers concerned those who have removed the encroachments have agreed and asserted to leave the trees to the government's decision. It was explained in the Status Report filed by the respondent. On this Contempt Petition the Hon'ble Court has ordered on 29.01.2024 as follows:

ORDER

(Order of the court was made by the Hon-ble Chief Justice)

"Heard Mr.J.Titus Enock, learned counsel for the petitioner; and Mr.A.Edwin Prabhakar, learned State Government Pleader for the respondent.

2. Learned State Government Pleader placed on record the compliance affidavit filed by the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam, to the effect that out of eight encroachments, seven encroachments are removed. The petitioner is the eighth encroacher. The petitioner has not removed the encroachment.

3. If the petitioner is an encroacher and is not removing the encroachment, then the respondent authority has every right to remove the encroachment of the petitioner. The respondent may take appropriate steps in that regard.

P. SUNDARAM, B.Com., LL.B.

Page No.10

Advocate & Notary Public.

Corrections :

En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,

Opp. to Court Campus,

Gobichettipalayam, Erode (Dt),

Cell : 98423 75099

Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.



WEB COPY




W.P.No.35053 of 2024

In view of the compliance report, the contempt petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs."

14. The encroachments in the above Government Poramboke lands were already removed except the encroachment portion of 0.17.90 hectares among the full extent of 0.48.0 hectares in the T.S. No.21 - Ward No.B, Block No.2 of the above village. Then, according to the order dated 29.01.2024 in the Contempt Petition No.154 of 2024, necessary action was taken and the remaining encroached portion of 0.17.90 hectares were removed on 16.03.2024. Hence, the entire encroachments as stated above in the lands in question bearing T.S. No.20, 21, 23 were removed.
15. After the removal of the entire encroachments in the above Poramboke lands, the writ petitioner Thiru Gnanasekaran has submitted an application dated 27.08.2024 to the Tashidar, Sathyamangalam and copy marked to the Chief Minister's Special Cell, Chennai, District Collector, Erode, Revenue Divisional Officer, Gobichettipalayam requested to remove the encroachments in the lands no.63, 64, 65, 66 T.S. No.21, 23 of Varadhampalayam Village, Sathyamangalam Taluk and not to grant any patta in the above lands. On the application of Thiru Gnanasekaran, appropriate reply was given by the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam in the Memorandum Na. Ka. No. 8828 / 2021 /A3 dated 16.10.2024. In this reply, the representations submitted by the encroachers, above writ petitions filed by the petitioners and respondents concerned, contempt petition filed by the above Thiru Govindaraja and action taken for the removal of entire encroachments and the

Page No.11
Corrections :


16.12.2024.
P. SUNDARAM, B.Com., LL.B.,
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode (Dt),
Cell : 98423 75099


Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.



WEB COPY




W.P.No.35053 of 2024

action taken to account for the trees in the Government Accounts and finally informed that
"among the encroachers, except the applicant, the remaining others have come forward and removed their encroachments themselves. All the encroachers have given statement to handover the trees nurtured without knowing the boundaries of the lands to the Government. Without knowing about the trees handedover to the Government by the encroachers concerned, Thiru Govindaraj has submitted the complaint petition alleged that the encroachments were not removed. Further, he has complained that the land was surveyed and demarked the boundaries in prejudice. The above lands were surveyed by the team headed by the Taluk Deputy Sureyor with the instruments of the DGPS (Differential Global Positioning System) received from the District Collector's office, Erode without any prejudice. Among the above encroachments, only the applicant only was encroached the huge portion than the other encroachers. At the stage, the other encroachers have removed their encroachments by themselves, the applicant had not removed his encroachment and in the consequences, the Government officials have removed the encroachments of the applicant on vandalism, the applicant had sent the complaint petition. The entire encroachments in the Town Survey No.20, 21 and 23 - Ward-B, Block-3 have been removed. It is also informed that no patta was issued to anybody in the above lands."

16. Now, without any prima facie, the writ petitioner Thiru Gnanasekaran has filed this present writ petition and Notwithstanding the entire encroachments have been

Page No.12
Corrections : **P. SUNDARAM, B.Com.,LL.B.,**
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode (Dt),
Pin - 641223, 750999.


Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024


removed in the lands in question, the writ petitioner has filed this present writ petition on wantonly, and the writ petition is not sustainable before the law and liable for dismissal.

17. I respectfully submit that on the representation dated 27.08.2024 of the writ petitioner, appropriate reply was sent to the writ petitioner by the Tahsildar, Sathyamangalam in the Memorandum Na. Ka. No. 8828 / 2021 /A3 dated 16.10.2024. Known from the affidavit filed by the writ petitioner, the appropriate reply was sent to the writ petitioner in the month of October 2024. But, the writ petitioner wantonly filed this writ petition unwarrantedly. Hence, the writ petition is not having any prima facie and unsustainable before the law and liable for dismissal.

It is therefore that this status report shall be taken on record by the Hon'ble High Court and pass necessary orders and thus render justice.

Solemnly affirmed at)
Chennai on this 16th day)
of December 2024 and)
signed him name in my)
presence:-

Before me ,


16.12.2024.
P. SUNDARAM, B.Com.,LL.B.,
Advocate & Notary Public,
En. No. 180/90, Reg. No. 7857,
Opp. to Court Campus,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode (Dt),
Cell : 98423 75099


Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam.

Page No.13
Corrections :



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF
JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

W.P. No. 35053 OF 2024

Gnanasekar, aged 48 years,
S/o. Late Nadaraj,
Door No.299, Mahaliamman Koil Thottam,
Periyakulam,
Varathampalayam Village,
Sathyamangalam Taluk,
Erode District.

Petitioner

-/ Versus -/

1. District Collector,
Erode,
Erode District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Gobichettipalayam,
Erode District.
3. The Tahsildar,
Sathyamangalam,
Erode District.
4. S.K. Kumar,
S/o. Kaliannan,
and 7 others -

Respondent

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE 3RD
RESPONDENT

Counter filed on :

Address for service:



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

WEB COPY

4. We find that paragraph Nos.14 and 15 of ATR dated 16.12.2024 are of utmost relevance as the same talk about what happened after removal of encroachment. This being the second round of litigation (earlier one having gone into contempt petition) we deem it appropriate to record the aforementioned ATR and close the captioned WP.

Captioned main WP disposed of in the aforesaid manner. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(K.R.S.,J.)

07.01.2025

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

Speaking order / Non-speaking order

mk

To

1. The District Collector
Erode, Erode District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Gobichettipalayam
Erode District.
3. The Tahsildhar
Sathyamangalam
Erode District.

Page Nos.19/20



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35053 of 2024

M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
K.RAJASEKAR, J.,

mk

W.P.No.35053 of 2024

07.01.2025

Page Nos.20/20