



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P.No.24633 of 2025

Gunasekaran

... Petitioner

Versus

The State Represented by
Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Taluk Police Station,
Ranipet District.

(Crime No.353 of 2025)

... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to
enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of apprehending arrest in the
Crime No.353 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Parthiban
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 326(a), 303(2) of BNS r/w 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act 1957 in Crime No.353 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had transported 1/2 unit of Norabu sand without any valid license. Hence, the complaint.



WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the petitioner involved sand theft.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the *learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Arakkonam*, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) to the credit**



WEB COPY



of the Registered Advocate Clerk Association, Ranipet District within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below.

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of his Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure his identity.

[c] the petitioner is directed to report before the respondent police every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of eight weeks;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



WEB COPY



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

kmm

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

12.09.2025

kmm

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Arakkonam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Taluk Police Station,
Ranipet District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL.O.P.No.24633 of 2025