



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.24369 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.24369 of 2025

C.Parameswaran

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police
M4-Redhills Police Station
Avadi Police Commissionerate
(Crime No.576 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of the arrest in Crime No.576 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : M/s.S.Magimai Raj

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections **406, 417, 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC, in Crime No.576 of 2025**, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation is that the petitioner joined hands with the other accused impersonated and fabricated power of attorney and other documents including a sale deed in favour of one Balaji, thereby, grabbed the land belongs to the defacto complainant to the extent of 13,585 sq.feet. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the entire allegation relates to the transactions taken place in the year 2008 to 2014 and further there are civil disputes pending between the parties challenging ownership and after a lapse of several years, this complaint has been lodged and he is ready to co-operate with the investigation in this matter. He further submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely



implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police reported that the First Information Report was only registered recently and the investigation is pending. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact that the entire transactions have been taken place between the year 2008 to 2014 and civil suits are also pending between the parties touching the ownership of land from the year 2016 onwards, all transactions alleged against the petitioner is based on documents and this complaint is lodged in the year 2025, this Court is of the view that no custodial interrogation is necessary, and this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial**



Magistrate-II, Ponneri, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only)** with two **sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.24369 of 2025

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

[f] The petitioner shall co-operate for investigation including submitting sample signature if any required for the purpose of investigation.

23.09.2025

dna

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate-II, Ponneri.

2.The Inspector of Police
M4-Redhills Police Station
Avadi Police Commissionarate
(Crime No.576 of 2025)

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.24369 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

dna

CrI.O.P.No.24369 of 2025

23.09.2025