



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.24444 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.24444 of 2025

Rajendran

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Kalasapakkam Police Station
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Cr.No.293 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the
event of his arrest in Cr.No.293 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.E.Sathiyaraj Elangovan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Section 303(2), 326 of BNS Act
(corresponding Section 379, 430 of IPC) and Section 21(1) of Mines and
Minerals (Development and Regulation Act), 1957 in Crime No. 293 of
2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.24444 of 2022



2. The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant /Assistant Director, Geology Department conducted routine check up, they found that the petitioner was in illegal transportation of 3 units of gravel sand in a lorry bearing registration No.TN 25 CF 0115. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is only a driver and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that the petitioner is a sole accused and had illegally transported 3 units of gravel sand without any permission and he has not come forward to adduce any documents and the possession found to be taken from the public place. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.24444 of 2025



5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by learned counsels on either side, considering the fact that the petitioner had illegally transported sand from the public place, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

16.09.2025

nr
To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Kalasapakkam, Tiruvannamalai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kalasapakkam Police Station
Tiruvannamalai District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.24444 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

nr

Crl.O.P.No.24444 of 2025

16.09.2025