



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.09.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P.No.24464 of 2025

1. Karthik @ Karthik Kalaiyan
2. Udayakumar

Petitioners

V s

State Rep. By:
Inspector of Police,
Melpatti Police Station,
Vellore District.
Crime No.98 of 2025.

Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Muruganantham

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udhayakumar
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The Petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 (294(b), 323, 324, 307 and 506(ii) of IPC) in Crime No.98 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY


2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and defacto complainant both the wordy quarreled, and petitioners attacked and abused the defacto complainant. Hence this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that there was some wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to **deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the credit of the Crime No.98 of 2025** and the victim is permitted to withdraw the same without **prejudice to the right and contentions**, and on such deposit, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing separate bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the *learned Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham*, and on further conditions that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impressions in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar cards or Bank pass Books to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for the period of **eight weeks**.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

kmm

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

12.09.2025

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

kmm

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Melpatti Police Station,
Vellore District.

3. The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court, Chennai.

CRL.O.P.No.24464 of 2025