



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.25516 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 17.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.25516 of 2025

1.Arunkumar
2.Venkatesh

.... Petitioners/A6 & A10

Vs.

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch
Salem District
Crime No. 12 of 2024.

...Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime
No. 12 of 2024 pending on the file of Inspector of Police, District Crime
Branch, Salem District.

For Petitionera : Mr.M.Venkadesh Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No. 12 of 2024
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 120(b), 467, 408, and
409 of the IPC, 1860 against the petitioners, the present petition has been
filed by the petitioners seeking anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners who were employed as Relationship Executives in the Sriram Finance, Kolathur Branch, Salem by fabrication of documents and forged loan applications cheated the company to the tune of Rs.1,11,20,000/- Hence the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioners are no way connected with the alleged offence. He further submitted that the customer has given fabricated documents and on believing the same, the petitioners have released the amount. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail is that A2 viz., Silamparasan, who was working as Branch Team Leader in the defacto complainant's company, along with other Executives by furnishing false loan applications had cheated the



Crl.OP.No.25516 of 2025

company to the tune of Rs.1,11,20,000/-. He would further submit that custodial interrogation of the petitioners are very much required.

WEB COPY

5. Taking into the above submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the gravity of the offence committed by the petitioners and so far no amount is received, if the anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioners at this stage, the petitioners is likely to tamper the evidence and hamper the investigation. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

17.09.2025

MSM



WEB COPY

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court No.VI, Salem.

2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch
Salem District
Crime No. 12 of 2024.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



Crl.OP.No.25516 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MSM

Crl.O.P.No.25516 of 2025

17.09.2025