



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.25097 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.25097 of 2025

1. Anthony

2. Salimbasha

... Petitioners

Vs.

State Rep by

The Inspector of Police,

N4-Fishing Harbour Police Station

Chennai

(Cr.No. 234 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Cr.No. 234 of 2025 on the file of respondent police.


For Petitioners : Mr. S.Anbu Selvan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.08.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 311, 351 (3) of BNS Act in Crime.No. 234 of 2025 on the file of respondent police, seeks bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and also robbed a sum of Rs.500/- at knife point.

Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioners are no way connected with the alleged offence however, they are suffering incarceration from 01.08.2025. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that this is a second bail application and this Court, by order dated 20.08.2025, dismissed the first bail petition in Crl.O.P.No.23083 of 2025. He submits that this a case of double murder and the petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2. He also submits that A1 is having 15 previous cases pending against him and A2 is having one previous case pending against him. He also submits that there is no change of circumstances in this case. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.



WEB COPY

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case , taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), considering the fact that this is a 2nd bail application and there is no change of circumstances in this case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

17.09.2025

nr
To

1. The learned XVI Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
N4-Fishing Harbour Police Station
Chennai
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25097 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

nr

Crl.O.P.No.25097 of 2025

17.09.2025