



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 24373 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL OP No. 24373 of 2025

1. Parthiban

S/o.Thirunavukarasu

2. Thirunavukarasu

S/o.Ragavan

3. Priyanga

W/o.Parthiban

4. Thirumalai Narayanan

S/o.Pazhanivel Palasundaram,

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State, rep. by

The Sub Inspector of police,

Walajabad Police Station,

Kancheepuram District.

(Crime No.288 of 2025)

Respondent(s)



PRAYER

To enlarge the Petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in connection with in Crime No.288 of 2025 on the file of Respondent Police.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr. V.Manimaran

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar,
Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS Act, in Crime No.288 of 2025 on the file of respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 22.07.2025 due to a previous enmity, the petitioners along with other accused said to have abused the defacto complaint, assaulted him and also threatened him, wherein he sustained injury and admitted in hospital for treatment. Hence, the present complaint was lodged against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are ranked as A1 to A4 and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would submit that they have not at all committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police and they are no way connected with the



occurrence and they will abide by any condition that may be imposed by this court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that on the date of occurrence, due to previous enmity, the petitioners along with other accused abused him and also assaulted him, wherein he sustained injury and after taking treatment, he was discharged from hospital. He would also submit that the petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A4 and if they are released on anticipatory bail, they will tamper the evidence and hamper the investigation and investigation is almost completed. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the investigation is almost completed and injured discharged from hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kancheepuram**, and the petitioners shall also execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties



each, in which, **one surety must be a blood surety**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

WEB COPY

12-09-2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

rpp

To

1. Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kancheepuram.
2. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Walajabad Police Station, Kancheepuram Dt.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 24373 of 2025



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.

rpp

**CRL OP No. 24373 of
2025**

12-09-2025