



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25112 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.25112 of 2025

Raghulkumar P N

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,(Crime)
District Crime Branch, Krishnagiri,
(Crime No.10 of 2022)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event
of the arrest in Crime No.10 of 2022 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sadasivam

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER



WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections **420, 463, 465, 468 & 471 of IPC, in Crime No.10 of 2022**, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation is that this petitioner joined hands with the other accused received a sum of Rs.78,74,000/- from 27 persons under the guise of arranging employment, subsequently, cheated them. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is not directly received any money and even in the FIR, it is specifically stated that the petitioner was present at the time of paying money to A1, who made the promise. He further submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police reported that there are totally four accused in this case and



this petitioner has hired A1 for collecting money and it is also stated that A1 is died. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and major allegation is only against Accused No.1 and the petitioner has not benefited from the payment made to Accused No.1, I am of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary in this case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** **with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.25112 of 2020

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.



Crl.OP.No.25112 of 2022

23.09.2025

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri.

2.The Inspector of Police,(Crime)
District Crime Branch, Krishnagiri,
(Crime No.10 of 2022)

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.25112 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

dna

Crl.O.P.No.25112 of 2025

23.09.2025