



Cont.P.No.3675 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11.06.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE ANITA SUMANTH
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

Cont.P.No. 3675 of 2024
and
Sub. Application No. 667 of 2025

S.Santhi

.. Petitioner

VS

1.Thiru.Dinesh Ponraj Oliver, IAS
The Inspector General of Registration &
Chief Revenue Controlling Authority,
Santhome High Road, Chennai – 28.

2.Thiru M.Ravichandran, B.Sc., B.L.,
The Special Deputy Collector (Stamps),
Salem, Namakkal, Dharmapuri
& Krishnagiri Districts,
Salem Collectorate, Salem – 636 001.

3.Thiru B.Loganathan
The Joint Sub-Registrar II,
Namakkal

.. Respondents

Prayer : Petition filed under Section 11 read with Section 12 of the
Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondents for the wilful



Cont.P.No.3675 of 2024

and deliberate disobedience and patent violation of the order of this Court

dated 08.04.2021 made in W.A.No. 2165 of 2018 and also award cost of the litigations in favour of the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Subramaniyan
For Respondents : Mr.J.Ravindran
Additional Advocate General
assisted by
Mr.U.Baranidharan
Special Government Pleader

ORDER
(Made by Dr. ANITA SUMANTH.,J)

Read this order in continuation of and in conjunction with order dated 23.04.2025, statutory notices was issued for the presence of the respondents today.

2. Mr.V.Nallasivan, Additional Inspector General of Registration, M.Ravichandran, the Special Deputy Collector (Stamps) and P.Loganathan, Sub-Registrar (Incharge) Villivakkam, formerly Joint Sub Registrar, Namakkal, were present today.

3. The first respondent / Thiru Dinesh Ponraj Oliver, IAS, The Inspector General of Registration & Chief Revenue Controlling Authority, seeks dispense of his personal appearance vide Sub Application No. 667 of 2025 listed today.



Cont.P.No.3675 of 2024

4. The reasons set out is that there are three review meetings

viz., District Revenue Officer (Stamps)/Special Deputy Collector (Stamps), District Registrar (Audit) and Public Grievance Meeting, scheduled today.

5. Had it only been meetings fixed with the officers, we would not have considered the request for dispensing with personal appearance. However since we are informed that the public grievance meeting is ongoing, we do not wish the public to be inconvenienced by the absence of the officer and hence order this sub application.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the directions under order dated 08.04.2021 have been complied, recording which, this contempt petition is closed. No costs.

[A.S.M., J] [N.S., J]
11.06.2025

Index:Yes/No
Neutral Citation:Yes
ssm



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.3675 of 2024

DR. ANITA SUMANTH, J.
and
N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

ssm

Cont.P.No. 3675 of 2024
and
Sub. Application No. 667 of 2025

11.06.2025