



Crl.OP.No. 24100 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS  
DATED: 17-09-2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**  
**CRL OP NO. 24100 of 2025**

WEB COPY

V.Sudhakar

Petitioner

Vs

The State rep. by  
The Inspector of Police  
Veppankuppam Police Station  
Vellore District  
Crime No. 261 of 2025.

Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest pending investigation in Cr.No.261 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.S.Saravanan  
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar  
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

----

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 271, 280, 332(c), 324(3) of BNS (269, 278, 451 of IPC) and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act, 1992 in Crime No. 261 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that he had demolished the



compound wall of the school premises in order to create pathway for his land and he also damaged the public property worth about Rs.1,00,000/-.

Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that due to political influence, the de-facto complaint lodged a complaint against him. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to construct the compound wall of the school premises if any demolished by him. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that the petitioner is having three previous cases. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials



available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsels on either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.III, Vellore**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No. 24100 of 2020

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only) to the District Educational Officer concerned for constructing the compound wall of the school premises. Further, the District Education Department concerned shall co-operate with the petitioner for completion of compound wall of the school premises and to restore its original position, failing which, the anticipatory bail petition shall stands dismissed automatically.

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.



Crl.OP.No. 24100 of 2025

**17.09.2025**

WEB COPY

MSM

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.III, Vellore.

2.The Inspector of Police  
Veppankuppam Police Station  
Vellore District

Crime No. 261 of 2025.

3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.

**K.RAJASEKAR, J.**

MSM



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 24100 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No. 24100 of 2025

17.09.2025