



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 1655 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL RC No. 1655 of 2025

AND

CRL MP NO. 16874 OF 2025

1. Durga @ Anbukkarasi
W/o. Anish, No.60, Nanthambakkam.
Kuntrathur, Chennai.

2. Mariyammal @ Divya
D/o. Murugesan, No.2/178/3, East
Street, Naganallur, Koppampatti,
Thiruchirapalli District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State rep by Inspector of Police,
K-10, Koyambedu Police station,
Chennai-600107. Crime No.63/2024.

Respondent(s)

CRL RC No. 1655 of 2025

PRAYER

To set aside the Impugned order in Crl.M.P.No.307 of 2025 in Spl.S.C.No.303 of 2024 on the file of the learned Special Sessions Judge for exclusive Trial of cases under POCSO Act at Chennai dated 10.07.2025 as illegal to secure the ends of justice and pass such further or other orders as this Court.

CRL RC No. 1655 of 2025

For Petitioner(s): R.Thiyagu
S. Saravanan
Santhosh

For Respondent(s): Dr.C.E. Pratap,
Government Advocate (Crl.
Side)



JUDGEMENT

WEB COPY

This Criminal Revision Case has been filed to set aside the Impugned order in Crl.M.P.No.307 of 2025 in Spl.S.C.No.303 of 2024 on the file of the learned Special Sessions Judge for exclusive Trial of cases under POCSO Act at Chennai dated 10.07.2025.

2. The brief facts of the case:

The complainant lodged a complaint against the respondent police alleging that the first petitioner has allowed her house to be used for brothel and second petitioner indulged the victim child in prostitution due to the continuous indulging of the victim child in prostitution, she became pregnant. There are four accused involved in this case. Based on the complainant the respondent police registered FIR in crime No. 63 of 2024 for the offence under Sections 6 r/w 17 of POCSO Act, 5(1), 3(2)(a) of ITP Act and Section 366(A) of IPC, 370 A (i) of IPC. Upon completion of investigation the respondent filed a final report and the same was taken on in in Spl.S.C.No.303 of 2024 on the file of the learned Special Sessions Judge for exclusive Trial of cases under POCSO Act at Chennai. Thereafter, the petitioners herein filed petitions under Section 250 of BNSS praying to discharge the petitioners from Spl.S.C. No. 303 of 2024. After considering the both sides submissions, the Trial Court dismissed the said petition by relying the ration laid down by the Honourable Supreme Court in a case State by SP through the SPE CBI Vs. Uttam Chand Bhora reported in



2021 SCC Online SC 1208. Challenging the same, the petitioners filed this Criminal Revision Case.

3. Heard both sides.

4. On perusal of the records, in the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C, the victim girl stated that the first petitioner illegally detained the victim in her house and second petitioner/victim's child's mother friend indulged the victim in prostitution. The victim's statement is sufficient to frame charge against the petitioners which is rightly appreciated by the Trial Court which needs no interference. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to raise all defence before the Trial Court. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed. Pending petition(s), if any, is/are closed.

10-09-2025

pbl

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 1655 of 2025



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.
pbl

**CRL RC No. 1655 of
2025
AND CRL MP NO.
16874 OF 2025**

10-09-2025