



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.23930 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.09.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.23930 of 2025

Karthick @ Youtube Karthick

... Petitioner

-VS-

The State of rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Redhills Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.400 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS Act, pleaded to enlarge the Petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime No.400 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.


For Petitioner : Mr.P.Santhosh

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The Petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 29.07.2025 for the alleged offences punishable under Section 310(4) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.400 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of prosecution is that on 29.07.2025, on secret information from the police informer that are five accused persons involved



that on 28.05.2025 at about 7.20 AM were inside the BSNL ground at Padiyanallur with a knife and a stick and that it seems that they are hiding to committed some Criminal act. When five people saw us there and tried to run away, the police party tried to catch them. Three of them tried to escape and caught the two remaining accused. When they were checked, A1 had a knife and A2 had a knife. When questioned about it, it was revealed that they had a habit of selling goods and cannabits, and that they did not had money to spend on fun or old cases, so they all are planned to rob people coming towards the Padiyanallur BSNL ground. The adversary A1 voluntarily gave his confession without any threat, harassment or words of encouragement, and since there was no public movement there. Hence this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that 24 previous cases pending against the petitioner and totally 5 accused, A4 till absconding. He would also submit that if he is released on bail, he would hamper the investigation and tamper the witnesses. However, he would vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by both counsel and also considering gravity of offences committed by the petitioner and the fact that the petitioner having 24 previous cases, and the investigation is not yet completed and if he is



Crl.OP.No.23930 of 2025

released on bail, there is possibility of tampering the witnesses and hampering the investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

10.09.2025

rna

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II at Ponneri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Redhills Police Station,
Chennai.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Puzhal.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.23930 of 2025

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

rna

Crl.O.P.No.23930 of 2025

10.09.2025