



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.23986 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.23986 of 2025

Kavitha

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by its
Sub Inspector of Police,
Tiruvannamalai Town Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Cr.No.282 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail in
Cr.No.282 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr. E.Sathiyaraj

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
14.08.2025 for the alleged offence under Section 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A) of the
Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act and Section 4(1)(C),



4(1-A)(ii) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition(Amendment) Act, 2024 in Crime
No.282 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The allegations against the petitioner is that the petitioner was found in possession of 30 grams of ganja and 11 bottles of Mclane Brandy the same has been sold to the local public for her personal gain. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 14.08.2025 and she is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that the banned contraband was seized from the accused and the petitioner is having ten previous cases of similar nature. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case



Crl.OP.No.23986 of 2025

WEB COPY

and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the gravity of offence committed by the petitioner and also taking note of the fact that the banned contraband was seized and there are ten previous cases of similar nature are pending as against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

Vv

16.09.2025

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I,
Tiruvannamalai.
2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Tiruvannamalai Town Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.23986 of 2025

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.23986 of 2025

16.09.2025